Policy Research Journal
ISSN (E): 3006-7030 ISSN (P) : 3006-7022 Volume 3, Issue 11, 2025

EVALUATING PAKISTAN'S NUCLEAR DOCTRINE: DRIVERS, CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT, AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS FOR SOUTH ASIA

Atif Anwer Dar

M.Phil. (International Relations), School of Politics and International Relations (SPIR), Quaid-e-Azam University, Islamabad

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17760425

Keywords
- *Nuclear Deterrence*
- *Full Spectrum Deterrence*
- *Nuclear Doctrine*
- *Strategic Stability*
- *Deterrence Theory*

Article History
Received: 08 October 2025
Accepted: 14 November 2025
Published: 29 November 2025

Copyright @Author
Corresponding Author: *
Atif Anwer Dar

Abstract
Pakistan's nuclear posture has transformed from strategic ambiguity into a structured model of Full Spectrum Deterrence, reflecting historical trauma, conventional military asymmetry with India, doctrinal competition, and advancing technologies. This research investigates the principal drivers shaping Pakistan's nuclear trajectory and evaluates the degree of coherence between Pakistan's declared nuclear policy and its demonstrated capabilities. Drawing on Deterrence Theory as the primary analytical framework, the study integrates doctrinal assessments, secondary literature, and publicly available capability data. Findings indicate that Pakistan's declared nuclear posture and its observable nuclear force development are broadly aligned, with negligible gaps in naval nuclear development. The analysis concludes that Pakistan's expanding deterrence architecture strengthens crisis stability in South Asia, but its future credibility depends on economic resilience, technological modernization, and sustained strategic communication.

INTRODUCTION

Pakistan's nuclear posture has emerged from a historically difficult and structurally asymmetrical security environment dominated by Pakistan's rivalry with India. The shock of the 1971 war and India's 1974 nuclear test fundamentally altered Pakistan's defence calculus, triggering a shift from a purely conventional military strategy toward a nuclear-enabled deterrence model. Pakistan's eventual transition to Full Spectrum Deterrence in 2011 responded directly to India's evolving military doctrines, especially doctrines that envisioned rapid conventional military incursions below the nuclear threshold. Despite frequent criticism from external analysts, Pakistan's nuclear evolution reflects threat-driven necessity rather than aggressive intent. This study examines Pakistan's nuclear evolution in a structured, analytical manner, highlighting doctrinal coherence and the strategic logic underpinning Pakistan's choices.

2. Research Problem

The central research problem addressed is: What structural and strategic factors have shaped Pakistan's evolving nuclear posture, and to what extent does Pakistan's declared nuclear policy align with its observable nuclear capabilities?

3. Significance of the Study

Given the presence of four nuclear-armed states—Pakistan, India, China, and Russia—operating within overlapping geopolitical corridors, Pakistan's nuclear posture has implications for both regional and global stability. This study contributes to policy analysis, nuclear strategy scholarship, and crisis-management research by

clarifying Pakistan's doctrinal choices and their impact on deterrence stability in South Asia.

4. Objectives

- To analyse the historical, strategic, and technological factors that have driven Pakistan's nuclear evolution.
- To evaluate the alignment between Pakistan's declared nuclear doctrine and its actual nuclear force development.
- To examine the implications of Pakistan's nuclear trajectory for future regional crisis stability.

5. Research Question

What factors have shaped Pakistan's evolving nuclear posture, and how does Pakistan's declared nuclear stance correspond with its actual nuclear capabilities?

6. Hypothesis

Pakistan's nuclear posture is a consequence of historical trauma, strategic asymmetry with India, technological imperatives, and broader geopolitical developments. The resulting posture is rational, threat-responsive, and evolving.

7. Literature Review

Existing literature on Pakistan's nuclear strategy reveals significant polarization. Western scholars frequently emphasize proliferation-related risks, while Indian commentators often cast Pakistan's nuclear posture as destabilizing. Pakistani scholarship highlights structural compulsions but is hindered by limited access to classified material. A notable gap is the absence of comprehensive and impartial assessments comparing Pakistan's declared doctrine with actual capability development. This study fills that gap through structured doctrinal and capability analysis.

8. Methodology

This research employs a qualitative methodology using doctrinal analysis, secondary literature, and publicly available information on nuclear capabilities. Deterrence Theory forms the analytical foundation. The primary limitation is the absence of access to classified information, which is acknowledged.

9. Theoretical Framework: Deterrence Theory

Deterrence Theory focuses on credibility, capability, and communication. Its key components include deterrence by punishment, deterrence by denial, escalation thresholds, command credibility, and strategic signaling. Within this framework, Pakistan's transition from a minimum credible deterrent posture toward a full-spectrum posture reflects an adaptive strategy aimed at maintaining stability despite India's expanding military doctrines and force modernization.

10. Pakistan's Nuclear Evolution: Historical Context

10.1 From Atoms for Peace to Strategic Necessity (1953–1974)

Pakistan's engagement with nuclear technology began with peaceful objectives under the global "Atoms for Peace" initiative. However, the trauma of Pakistan's dismemberment in 1971 and India's nuclear explosion in 1974 decisively changed Pakistan's strategic outlook. These events exposed Pakistan's vulnerability to both conventional military pressure and nuclear coercion. Consequently, nuclear capability gradually transformed from a scientific initiative into a national security imperative, marking the beginning of Pakistan's pursuit of a credible nuclear deterrent.

10.2 Covert Deterrence and Strategic Ambiguity (1974–1998)

Between 1974 and 1998, Pakistan developed a latent nuclear capability under an intentional policy of ambiguity. This ambiguity helped deter Indian coercive strategies while avoiding the diplomatic and economic consequences of an overt nuclear weapons programme. During this period, Pakistan expanded its enrichment capabilities, scientific expertise, and delivery system infrastructure. The ambiguity was particularly influential during crises such as the Brasstacks confrontation and the 1990 South Asian nuclear alert, where uncertainty regarding

Pakistan's capability contributed to crisis management and stability.

10.3 Nuclear Transparency and the 1998 Tests

India's nuclear tests in May 1998 eliminated the viability of Pakistan's ambiguous posture. Pakistan responded with its own series of nuclear tests, formally declaring itself a nuclear-armed state. This transition required institutionalization of nuclear governance, resulting in the creation of dedicated command and control structures, specialized operational commands, and professional oversight mechanisms. The move towards transparency compelled Pakistan to articulate a clearer nuclear doctrine and to pursue systematic force development.

11. Evolution of Pakistan's Nuclear Posture

11.1 Phase One: Strategic Ambiguity

During the period of ambiguity, Pakistan relied on uncertainty to serve as a deterrent. Priority was placed on the survivability of nuclear infrastructure, concealment, and strategic messaging. The objective was to ensure that India could not assume freedom of action in crises.

11.2 Phase Two: Nuclear Transparency

After the 1998 nuclear tests, Pakistan articulated a declaratory policy centered on a credible minimum deterrent. The state strengthened institutional oversight through a formal national command structure, reinforced civilian control mechanisms, and integrated nuclear planning into national security strategy.

11.3 Phase Three: Minimum Credible Deterrence (2000–2010)

The crises of 2001–2002 and 2008 revealed limitations in Pakistan's existing nuclear posture. India's evolving military doctrines created new challenges, underscoring the need for a more flexible force structure. Pakistan responded by diversifying delivery systems, strengthening command and control, and enhancing the survivability of its nuclear forces.

11.4 Phase Four: Full Spectrum Deterrence (2010–Present)

Full Spectrum Deterrence represents Pakistan's most comprehensive doctrinal transformation. The doctrine aims to deter aggression at all levels—strategic, operational, and battlefield. This posture responds directly to India's rapid-mobilization concepts by ensuring that no potential gap exists that India could exploit through limited or swift conventional operations. Full Spectrum Deterrence integrates short-range ballistic missiles, cruise missiles, air-delivered nuclear systems, and emerging sea-based nuclear systems in order to reinforce deterrence across the entire spectrum of conflict.

12. Drivers of Pakistan's Nuclear Posture

Pakistan's nuclear posture is shaped by deeply entrenched structural factors. The enduring mistrust between India and Pakistan, combined with unresolved territorial disputes, generates persistent security anxiety. India's conventional military superiority and continuous modernization compel Pakistan to rely increasingly on nuclear deterrence for strategic equilibrium. India's pursuit of ballistic-missile defence systems, precision-strike capabilities, and multiple-independently-targetable re-entry vehicles further influences Pakistan's nuclear decision-making. Additionally, Pakistan's strategic culture, which prioritizes resilience and national sovereignty, reinforces the importance of nuclear weapons in national defence planning.

13. Declared Doctrine and Actual Capability

13.1 Declared Doctrine

Pakistan's nuclear doctrine emphasizes deterrence rather than nuclear warfighting. It asserts a credible minimum deterrent posture that evolves in response to India's capabilities. Pakistan does not adopt a no-first-use policy, retaining deliberate ambiguity to enhance deterrence credibility. The doctrine stresses centralized command and control and consistent adherence to responsible stewardship.

13.2 Actual Capabilities

Available open source information suggests that Pakistan maintains a diversified set of capabilities comprising land-based ballistic missiles, land-attack cruise missiles, air-delivered nuclear weapons, and an emerging sea-based component intended to strengthen second-strike credibility. The command and control infrastructure includes secure communications, personnel reliability programmes, and multiple layers of oversight.

13.3 Gaps

While Pakistan's nuclear posture is largely coherent, certain capability gaps persist. The sea-based nuclear component is still transitioning toward full operational maturity; however, this shortfall is effectively offset by increasingly capable naval platforms equipped with indigenous missile systems, which significantly enhance maritime deterrence. Economic pressures continue to influence the pace of wider force modernization, and battlefield-level deployment concepts require meticulous management to prevent inadvertent escalation. Despite these considerations, the remaining gaps are marginal and do not materially diminish the overall credibility or effectiveness of Pakistan's deterrent posture.

14. Discussion: Strategic and Policy Implications

Pakistan's nuclear posture contributes to crisis stability by preventing India from operationalizing doctrines involving limited conventional strikes. The post-2010 crises, including the events surrounding Balakot in 2019, demonstrate that escalation risks remain high, but nuclear deterrence continues to impose strategic restraint on both states. External criticism of Pakistan's posture often simplifies or misrepresents Pakistan's security compulsions. At the same time, India's ongoing investment in advanced technologies, including ballistic-missile defence and hypersonic systems, poses long-term challenges to deterrence stability. Pakistan must therefore pursue selective and cost-effective modernization, strengthen survivability technologies, and improve crisis-communication mechanisms.

15. Conclusion

Pakistan's nuclear trajectory demonstrates a rational progression from peaceful scientific exploration to a structured deterrence architecture designed to counter India's expanding conventional and nuclear capabilities. Full Spectrum Deterrence provides Pakistan with a flexible and credible framework for deterring aggression across all levels of conflict. While capability gaps and economic pressures present challenges, Pakistan's nuclear posture remains coherent, responsible, and essential to maintaining regional stability. As South Asia continues to evolve into a complex multi-nuclear environment, understanding Pakistan's nuclear logic is indispensable for shaping policy, crisis-management strategies, and long-term security planning.

References

Adams, J. (2020). Nuclear stability in South Asia: Deterrence, escalation, and the future. Routledge.

Bajpai, K. (2019). India's nuclear posture: A critical assessment. Journal of Strategic Studies, 42(5), 655–677.

Fair, C. C. (2014). Fighting to the end: The Pakistan Army's way of war. Oxford University Press.

Ganguly, Š., & Kapur, P. (2010). India, Pakistan, and the bomb: Debating nuclear stability in South Asia. Columbia University Press.

Krepon, M., Clary, C., & Wheeler, M. (2016). Crisis stability in South Asia. Stimson Center Report.

Lavoy, P. (Ed.). (2009). Asymmetric warfare in South Asia: The causes and consequences of the Kargil conflict. Cambridge University Press.

Narang, V. (2014). Nuclear strategy in the modern era: Regional powers and international conflict. Princeton University Press.

Tellis, A. J. (2001). India's emerging nuclear posture. RAND Corporation.

Wyne, A. M. (2022). The evolution of Pakistan's nuclear doctrine. Asian Security, 18(4), 375–398.