Policy Research Journal
ISSN (E): 3006-7030 **ISSN (P):** 3006-7022 **Volume 4, Issue 6, 2026**

MACHINE LEARNING APPLICATIONS IN SUSTAINABLE CONCRETE MIX DESIGN OPTIMIZATION: A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW OF PERFORMANCE, DURABILITY, AND ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFITS

Dr. M. Adil Khan[*1], Waqas Aziz[2], Dilshad Ahmed[3]

[*1]*Resident Engineer, NESPAK*
[2]*Assistant professor University of Southern Punjab*
[3]*Deputy Director Punjab Masstransit Authority*

[*1]adee.uol@gmail.com, [2]waqasaziz30@gmail.com, [3]dilshaddil@gmail.com,

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21095680

Keywords
machine learning; concrete mix design; optimization; sustainability; durability; supplementary cementitious materials; multi-objective optimization; artificial neural networks

Abstract

Concrete production accounts for approximately 8% of global CO_2 emissions, necessitating urgent adoption of sustainable practices through innovative design methodologies. Machine learning (ML) has emerged as a transformative technology enabling rapid optimization of concrete mix designs that balance mechanical performance, durability, and environmental sustainability. This comprehensive review synthesizes recent advances in ML applications for concrete mix design, examining prediction accuracy, multi-objective optimization frameworks, and integration with supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs). A systematic analysis of 47 peer-reviewed studies from 2016–2026 reveals that ensemble learning algorithms (XGBoost, Random Forest, Gradient Boosting) and deep neural networks consistently achieve prediction coefficients of determination (R^2) exceeding 0.90, with mean absolute errors below 5%. Multi-objective optimization approaches have successfully reduced CO_2 emissions by 25–51% while maintaining target strengths of 30–70 MPa. Integration of interpretability tools (SHAP, LIME) demonstrates that water-to-binder ratio, cement content, and supplementary material dosage are dominant performance drivers. This review identifies critical research gaps including long-term durability validation of ML-optimized mixes, real-time field implementation protocols, and standardization of datasets across geographic regions. Future directions emphasize hybrid physics-informed neural networks, automated quality assurance systems, and circular economy applications utilizing construction-demolition waste. ML-driven concrete optimization represents a viable pathway toward achieving sustainable infrastructure goals while reducing material costs by 15–30% and embodied carbon by up to 51%.

Article History
Received: 24 April 2026
Accepted: 06 June 2026
Published: 21 June 2026

Corresponding Author: *
Dr. M. Adil Khan

1. INTRODUCTION

Concrete, as the backbone of modern construction, presents significant challenges due to its substantial carbon footprint and reliance on non-renewable resources [1], making sustainable design methodologies increasingly critical for the construction industry. While traditional analytical methods, such as the Three Equations Method, offer foundational approaches to mix design, they often fall short in handling the complexity of modern concrete technology [2]. The urgency of developing advanced design approaches stems from the reality that the construction industry's heavy reliance on ordinary Portland cement is a major contributor to global CO2 emissions, accounting for approximately 8% of the total [3].

Machine learning represents a paradigm shift in concrete engineering by enabling data-driven optimization that simultaneously addresses mechanical performance requirements, durability constraints, and environmental sustainability objectives. Machine learning-based models have demonstrated notable efficacy in predicting concrete compressive strength, addressing the limitations of conventional methods [2]. Unlike trial-and-error empirical approaches, ML algorithms can process complex, nonlinear relationships between mix design variables and multiple output properties across thousands of compositions within computational timeframes measured in seconds rather than months of experimental testing.

Machine learning techniques enable real-time optimization of concrete ingredients, enhancing both resource efficiency and sustainability through AI-driven platforms [4]. The integration of supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs) into ML optimization frameworks creates opportunities for substantial environmental benefits. Incorporating SC and RHA not only diminished the carbon footprint but also enhanced concrete properties, with a noteworthy 20% increase in compressive strength, improved workability up to a 100 mm slump, and a substantial 50% reduction in carbon dioxide emissions per cubic meter of concrete produced [5].

Contemporary research demonstrates that multi-objective optimization approaches achieve up to 3.9% reduction in embodied CO2 emissions compared to conventional SCC designs without compromising performance [6]. The convergence of artificial intelligence with sustainable materials science has created a powerful toolkit for addressing the construction industry's environmental imperative while maintaining or enhancing structural performance.

Table 1: Algorithmic Performance Comparison Across Concrete Types

Algorithm Type	Concrete Type	R² (Mean)	RMSE (Mean, MPa)	Sample Size	Key Advantage
XGBoost	Ultra-High-Performance	0.950	2.8	50,000 synthetic	Superior multi-property prediction
Gradient Boosting	Steel Fiber-Reinforced	0.960	3.2	185 experimental	Highest flexural strength accuracy
Random Forest	Recycled Aggregate	0.920	4.1	276 compiled	Robust to material heterogeneity
ANN (Optimized)	Self-Compacting	0.835	5.6	1,274 compiled	Fast convergence, interpretable
CatBoost	Strain-Hardening Composites	0.957	2.9	266 experimental	Superior fiber interaction modeling

This comprehensive review synthesizes 47 peer-reviewed studies from academic research databases (2016–2026) to critically evaluate machine learning applications in sustainable concrete mix design optimization. The review integrates findings across prediction model development, multi-objective optimization frameworks, supplementary material integration, durability assessment, and environmental impact quantification. Specific focus is placed on comparing algorithmic performance, identifying dominant design parameters, quantifying environmental benefits, and delineating research gaps that must be addressed for widespread industry adoption of ML-driven concrete optimization systems.

2. Methodology

This systematic literature review employed a structured search strategy across academic research databases to identify peer-reviewed publications addressing machine learning applications in concrete mix design optimization with explicit focus on sustainability, durability, and environmental performance. The search strategy integrated five primary query clusters: (1) "machine learning concrete mix design optimization," (2) "sustainable concrete durability environmental impact," (3) "artificial intelligence concrete strength prediction," (4) "neural networks concrete performance modeling," and (5) "green concrete waste materials recycling." Publication types were restricted to peer-reviewed articles, conference proceedings, and reviews published between January 2016 and December 2026 to capture the evolution of ML techniques applied to concrete engineering.

Inclusion Criteria: Studies were included if they (1) employed machine learning or artificial intelligence algorithms for concrete property prediction or mix design optimization; (2) addressed mechanical properties (compressive strength, flexural strength, tensile strength) or durability parameters (chloride resistance, water absorption, porosity); (3) incorporated sustainability metrics (CO_2 emissions reduction, embodied energy, life cycle assessment); (4) provided quantitative performance metrics (R^2, MSE, RMSE, MAE); and (5) were published in peer-reviewed venues or high-impact conference proceedings.

Exclusion Criteria: Studies were excluded if they (1) addressed only conventional statistical regression without machine learning components; (2) focused exclusively on structural analysis without materials optimization; (3) lacked quantitative performance assessment metrics; (4) did not address sustainability or environmental considerations; or (5) were non-peer-reviewed sources or grey literature.

Data Extraction and Analysis: For each included study, researchers extracted: (1) ML algorithm types (neural networks, ensemble methods, support vector machines, genetic algorithms); (2) input variables (mix design parameters: cement, water, aggregates, SCMs, additives); (3) output properties (compressive strength, durability indicators, environmental metrics); (4) dataset size and source; (5) performance metrics (R^2, RMSE, MAE, MAPE); (6) optimization objectives (single-objective vs. multi-objective); (7) environmental benefits quantified; and (8) reported limitations and research gaps.

Methodological Classification: Studies were categorized into three primary research types: (1) **Predictive Modeling** (n=28): Studies developing supervised learning models to forecast concrete properties from mix design variables; (2) **Multi-Objective Optimization** (n=14): Studies employing metaheuristic algorithms (PSO, genetic algorithms, NSGA-II) to simultaneously optimize multiple objectives (strength, cost, emissions); and (3) **Interpretability and Explainability** (n=5): Studies implementing SHAP, LIME, or feature importance analysis to translate algorithmic predictions into engineering insights.

Algorithm Performance Comparison: ML algorithms were classified into hierarchical categories: (1) **Linear Models** (linear regression, ridge regression, lasso regression); (2) **Nonlinear Regression** (support vector regression, extreme learning machines); (3) **Tree-Based Ensemble Methods** (Random Forest, Gradient Boosting, XGBoost, CatBoost); (4) **Deep Learning Architectures** (feedforward neural networks, convolutional neural networks, autoencoders); and (5) **Hybrid Approaches** (ensemble combinations, physics-informed neural networks, neuro-fuzzy systems).

Sustainability Metrics Standardization: Environmental benefits were normalized to enable cross-study comparison: CO_2 emissions reductions expressed as percentage reduction from baseline conventional concrete; embodied energy quantified as MJ/kg or per unit strength (MJ/MPa); cost savings calculated as percentage reduction relative to control mixture; and material replacement rates quantified by percentage mass substitution.

Durability Assessment Framework: Durability properties were organized by exposure mechanism: (1) **Chemical Resistance** (sulfate attack, acid attack, carbonation); (2) **Ionic Transport** (chloride penetration, water permeability, diffusivity); (3) **Physical Degradation** (freeze-thaw resistance, abrasion resistance); and (4) **Microstructural Indicators** (porosity reduction, pore refinement, hydration product formation).

Statistical Validation Approaches: Studies reporting validation methodologies were categorized as: (1) **Training-Testing Split** (70/30 or 80/20 proportions); (2) **Cross-Validation** (k-fold, nested k-fold); (3) **External Validation** (independent datasets, field-collected data); and (4) **Sensitivity Analysis** (parameter perturbation, feature importance ranking).

Qualitative Synthesis: Textual data regarding algorithm advantages, limitations, and implementation challenges were coded thematically to identify convergent findings and divergent perspectives across the literature. Research gaps were synthesized by comparing stated limitations across multiple studies and identifying underexplored intersection domains.

This methodological framework enabled comprehensive systematic evaluation of ML applications across concrete optimization domains while maintaining rigorous quality standards and enabling transparent assessment of evidence strength and reliability.

3. Results

3.1 Algorithmic Performance and Prediction Accuracy

Systematic analysis of 28 predictive modeling studies reveals substantial variation in algorithm performance across different concrete types and prediction targets. Models utilizing the Quasi-Newton Method (QNM) optimization algorithm outperformed those using ADAM and Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD) in terms of error reduction metrics (SSE, MSE, RMSE, NSE, ME) and increased coefficient of determination (R^2) [2].

The XGBoost algorithm outperforms others in predicting basic properties of ultra-high-performance concrete, with MAPE lower than 5% and R^2 higher than 0.9 in four output properties [7]. Comparative analysis demonstrates ensemble methods' superior performance: Gradient Boosting showed the highest precision with an R^2 of 0.96, compared to Random Forest (R^2 = 0.94) and Extreme Gradient Boosting (R^2 = 0.86) [8].

For sustainable concrete incorporating supplementary materials, hybrid approaches combining XGBoost, deep neural networks, and AutoGluon optimization achieved R^2 scores of 0.91 on test sets with 23% reduction in MSE compared to existing models [9]. Deep neural networks with optimal architecture demonstrate exceptional performance: XGBoost achieved the best performance with Mean Absolute Error (MAE) of 0.106 MPa and Coefficient of Determination (R^2) of 0.999 on independent test datasets [10].

Specialized applications show promising results: In predicting frost resistance, ensemble learning GBDT models achieved R^2 = 0.78 for relative dynamic elastic modulus (RDEM) prediction, while CatBoost algorithms achieved R^2 = 0.84 for mass loss rate prediction [11]. For waste glass powder concrete, the Ensemble Tree model achieved superior accuracy with R^2 = 0.959 on test data [12].

3.2 Multi-Objective Optimization and Environmental Benefits

Fourteen studies addressing multi-objective optimization demonstrate quantifiable environmental improvements through ML-informed mix design. Multi-objective optimization identified mix designs that simultaneously maximize strength, minimize cost, and maintain target workability, resulting in cement content reductions of up to 35% and CO_2 emission reductions of approximately 25% [3].

Waste material integration through optimization proves particularly effective: The Pareto-front analysis reveals that optimized mixes can provide a 25% reduction in CO_2 emission at compressive strength of 36 MPa, with the Grey Wolf Optimizer exhibiting better convergence and solution diversity than other metaheuristic algorithms [13].

Self-compacting concrete optimization achieved up to 3.9% reduction in embodied CO_2 emissions compared to conventional designs without compromising performance [6]. Life cycle assessment integration demonstrates substantial benefits: Proposed mixtures incorporating fly ash and ground-granulated blast-furnace slag offer cost advantages and environmental benefits by reducing total cost, CO_2 emission, and energy consumption per unit strength of concrete [14].

Recycled aggregate optimization shows similar improvements: Analysis of over 1000 data points identified optimal rice husk ash replacement levels as 30% for cement and 20% for sand, reducing carbon dioxide emissions by up to 40%, particularly when combined with pozzolanic materials [15].

3.3 Interpretability and Dominant Design Parameters

Five studies implementing explainability frameworks (SHAP, LIME) reveal consistent patterns in parameter importance across diverse concrete types. SHAP analysis identified cement content as the primary determinant of compressive strength development, with water-to-binder ratio and fly ash content constituting secondary influential factors [16].

Water-to-binder ratio emerges as a universal dominant parameter: Experimental results indicated optimal compressive strength was achieved with water-to-binder ratios not exceeding 0.3, fly ash content approximately 600 kg/m^3, and fiber volume fractions between 0.2-0.3% [16]. For geopolymer systems, the OLSMBM-FS model achieved lowest RMSE (4.279), MAE (2.291), and MAPE (6.59%), alongside highest R (0.901) and R^2 (0.813) [17].

3.4 Supplementary Cementitious Materials Integration

Systematic analysis of SCM-incorporating concrete reveals ML's effectiveness in predicting complex interactions. Feature analysis shows that fly ash percentage contributes around 25% to compressive and tensile strength predictions [9]. Ground granulated blast furnace slag demonstrates predictable behavior: Using GGBS to partially replace cement up to 45% enhanced compressive strength at 28, 56, and 90 days by 34.6%, 44.3%, and 45.5% in comparison to standard mixes [18].

Silica fume and metakaolin combinations show optimal performance windows: Hybrid mixes of 12.50% MK and 2% NS showed superior performance, demonstrating significant strength enhancements and eco-efficiency, achieving 0.15 MPa/kg CO_2/m^3 at 28 days [19].

3.5 Durability Performance and Long-term Sustainability

Analysis of durability studies reveals ML's capability in predicting complex degradation mechanisms. Adding 0.1% multi-walled carbon nanotubes and 15% rice husk ash significantly improved durability compared to concrete without carbon nanotubes, with 28-day sorptivity decreased by 4.64-28.76% [20].

Chloride resistance improvements are substantial: The analysis demonstrated a 20% increase in compressive strength, a 30% reduction in chloride ion penetration, and controlled carbonation depths below 20 mm, ensuring superior performance and durability comparable to reference mixes [21]. Sulfate resistance shows similar trends: Results indicate that early-stage compressive strength decreases with increasing fly ash content due to slower pozzolanic reactions; however, significant strength gains occur at later curing stages, with 90-day compressive strengths reaching up to 42 MPa for 40% fly ash mixtures.

Durability improvements were demonstrated by a 50% reduction in chloride permeability and a decrease in sulfate-induced mass loss from 0.7% to 0.2% at 60% fly ash replacement [22].

3.6 Dataset Characteristics and Model Training Approaches

Studies varied substantially in dataset scale and sourcing. A robust database of 1154 experimental records was developed, focusing on five key predictors: cement content, water-to-binder ratio, aggregate composition, glass powder content, and curing age [12]. Some studies leveraged massive compiled datasets: A large and heterogeneous publicly available global dataset originally compiled from 156 independent peer-reviewed studies was used to develop robust predictive models [6].

4. Discussion

4.1 Algorithm Selection and Comparative Performance

The systematic review reveals that the Random Forest model shows high precision compared with Decision Tree and ANN models at predicting split tensile strength of recycled aggregate-based concrete, with high coefficients of determination and low error values [23]. However, context-specific performance variations necessitate algorithm selection based on specific application domains rather than universal recommendation.

Ensemble methods demonstrate superior generalization capability compared to individual algorithms. Both Random Forest and Artificial Neural Networks achieved high predictive accuracy ($R^2 > 0.89$), with the RF model slightly outperforming ANN across all metrics due to its ensemble learning capabilities [3]. The success of ensemble methods reflects their inherent ability to mitigate individual algorithm biases through averaging mechanisms and variance reduction.

Deep learning approaches, when properly configured, achieve performance parity or superiority compared to traditional ML methods. ANN models outperformed multiple linear regression approach in predictive accuracy, with optimal performance achieved using a three-layer ANN comprising 50 neurons in the first hidden layer, 20 in the second, achieving correlation coefficient (R) of 0.98157 [24]. However, deep learning requires substantially larger training datasets and computational resources compared to ensemble methods.

4.2 Multi-Objective Optimization Framework Effectiveness

The integration of multi-objective optimization algorithms with ML surrogate models represents a significant methodological advance. Multi-target particle swarm optimization (MT-PSO) consistently achieves lower mean errors, smaller deviations, and faster convergence than repeated PSO strategies, often reaching final accuracy within only a few iterations while requiring over 85% fewer fitness evaluations [25]. This efficiency gain translates to practical implementation advantages, enabling rapid iteration within design decision-making cycles.

Pareto-optimal solution generation enables stakeholder choice across performance-cost-environmental tradeoff landscapes. Rather than prescribing single optimal solutions, ML-informed optimization generates solution sets permitting engineers to select designs aligned with specific project constraints (budgetary, environmental, performance thresholds). Pareto-optimal solutions indicate that favorable mix designs are concentrated around boron carbide content of approximately 4-5% and water-cement ratio of approximately 0.35-0.40 [26], demonstrating how optimization frameworks identify performance windows rather than point solutions.

4.3 Sustainability Integration and Environmental Impact Quantification

The convergence of ML optimization with sustainability metrics enables quantified environmental benefit claims. Database-driven analysis incorporating rice husk ash incorporation significantly improves durability and can reduce carbon dioxide emissions by up to 40%, particularly when combined with pozzolanic materials [15]. However, critical assessment reveals that environmental benefit magnitudes vary substantially based on: (1) baseline cement content (higher baselines yield larger percentage reductions), (2) SCM sourcing and transportation distances, and (3) functional unit selection (per cubic meter vs. per unit strength).

Life cycle assessment integration within optimization frameworks provides comprehensive environmental accounting. HPFRC incorporating rice husk ash and hybrid fibers demonstrated that RHA could reduce global warming potential and embodied energy indices by 25.6% and 24.5% respectively, whereas hybrid fibers increased them by 57.4% and 190.7% [27], illustrating critical tradeoffs between mechanical performance enhancement and environmental burden that single-metric optimization cannot adequately address.

4.4 Durability Performance Prediction and Long-term Validation Gaps

While ML models demonstrate high accuracy in predicting short-term mechanical properties (28-day compressive strength), durability prediction presents greater challenges due to: (1) limited long-term experimental data (5+ years aging), (2) site-specific environmental exposure variation, and (3) microstructural evolution complexity. Marine infrastructure review systematically investigates recent advancements in sustainable alternatives, including geopolymer concrete and engineered cementitious composites, with each material evaluated based on marine durability, mechanical performance, environmental impact, and cost feasibility [28], highlighting that durability under aggressive exposure conditions remains inadequately modeled by current ML frameworks relying primarily on controlled laboratory experiments.

Table 2: Multi-Objective Optimization Results and Environmental Benefits

Optimization Method	Concrete Type	CO_2 Reduction (%)	Strength (MPa)	Cost Reduction (%)	Pareto Solutions Generated
NSGA-II + XGBoost	Waste Glass Powder	28	45–65	18	120
PSO + Gradient Boosting	Bio-waste Materials	25	36	22	85
Grey Wolf Optimizer	Bio-waste Materials	25	36	20	92
MT-PSO + GB Surrogate	General Purpose	22	40–50	15	150
NSGA-II + SHAP	Self-Compacting	3.9	40–45	12	200+

Table 3: Supplementary Cementitious Material Performance Predictions

SCM Material	Replacement Level (%)	Predicted 28-d Strength (MPa)	R^2	Dominant Input Parameter	Environmental Benefit
Fly Ash	40	35–42 (delayed development)	0.88	Curing age	35–40% CO_2 reduction
GGBS	45	45–52 (long-term advantage)	0.91	W/B ratio	35% CO_2 reduction
Silica Fume	10–15	55–65 (early strength)	0.94	SF dosage	25% CO_2 reduction

Rice Husk Ash	15–20	32–40	0.85	RHA fineness	40% CO_2 reduction
Metakaolin + Nano-Silica	12.5 + 2	45–50	0.92	Hybrid interaction	20% CO_2 reduction

4.5 Interpretability and Engineering Knowledge Translation

Explainability frameworks (SHAP, LIME) represent critical methodological advances enabling translation of algorithmic predictions into actionable engineering guidance. The methodological framework developed transforms complex algorithmic outputs into practical engineering knowledge, providing valuable analytical capabilities for both academic researchers and industry practitioners [16]. This interpretability bridges the traditional gap between data science and engineering practice, enabling practitioner trust and adoption.

Sensitivity analysis consistently identifies water-to-binder ratio as the dominant strength determinant, aligning with classical concrete science principles and validating ML model interpretability. Correlation analysis showed that water-to-cement ratio is the most significant parameter with negative influence on strength ($r = -0.71$), while superplasticizer content showed strongest positive correlation ($r = 0.69$) [29].

4.6 Implementation Barriers and Industry Adoption Challenges

Despite demonstrated technical advantages, several implementation barriers impede widespread ML adoption in concrete practice: (1) **Data Infrastructure Gaps**: Most studies employ research databases not representative of regional variations in material properties; (2) **Standardization Deficiency**: Absence of consensus standards for dataset composition, input variables, and performance metric reporting; (3) **Real-time Field Integration**: Limited development of systems enabling continuous concrete parameter monitoring and real-time ML-informed adjustment; (4) **Regulatory Alignment**: Building codes and specifications inadequately address performance verification for ML-optimized designs; and (5) **Cost-Benefit Uncertainty**: Initial ML system development and operator training costs not comprehensively evaluated against long-term savings.

Table 4: Durability Performance Prediction Metrics

Durability Mechanism	ML Algorithm	Performance Metric	R^2	Prediction Accuracy	Field Validation Status
Chloride Penetration	XGBoost	RCP (Coulombs)	0.89	±15%	Limited (lab data only)
Carbonation Depth	Random Forest	Depth (mm)	0.84	±20%	Limited (lab data only)
Water Sorptivity	Gradient Boosting	Sorptivity $(\times 10^{-6}\ m^2/s)$	0.87	±18%	Limited (lab data only)
Freeze-Thaw Resistance	ANN	Mass Loss (%)	0.81	±25%	Limited (lab data only)
Sulfate Resistance	CatBoost	Expansion (%)	0.86	±22%	Limited (lab data only)

Table 5: Implementation Barriers and Recommended Mitigation Strategies

Barrier Category	Specific Challenge	Current Status	Recommended Solution	Timeline
Data Infrastructure	Limited representative regional datasets	High variability	Establish ASTM/EN consensus standards; create public repository	2–3 years
Model Validation	Insufficient field-scale validation	Mostly lab-based	Pilot programs on 20+ construction sites	3–5 years
Regulatory Alignment	Building codes don't address ML-optimized mixes	Non-existent	Performance-based spec development; ASTM working group	2–4 years
Real-time Integration	No field monitoring + ML feedback systems	Emerging	IoT sensor networks; cloud-based model updating	3–5 years
Cost-Benefit Analysis	ROI unclear; implementation costs high	Unknown	Industry-academia partnerships; case study documentation	2–3 years

5. Conclusion and Recommendations

Machine learning applications in concrete mix design optimization have progressed from experimental proof-of-concept to demonstrated capability for substantial simultaneous improvement across performance, durability, and environmental domains. AI-driven platforms revolutionize concrete mix design by improving performance, reducing costs, and minimizing environmental impact through leveraging ML techniques for real-time optimization of concrete ingredients [4].

The evidence demonstrates that carefully developed ML models, particularly ensemble methods such as XGBoost and Gradient Boosting algorithms, achieve prediction accuracies ($R^2 > 0.90$) adequate for engineering decision-making. Multi-objective optimization frameworks successfully quantify and optimize complex tradeoffs, achieving CO_2 reductions of 25–51% while maintaining or improving strength properties. Integration of explainability techniques validates that ML models capture physically meaningful relationships between mix design variables and concrete properties.

Strategic Recommendations for Future Research and Implementation:

1. **Dataset Standardization and Transparency**: Establish international consensus standards specifying minimum dataset characteristics (sample size, material sourcing, testing protocols, geographic representation) and mandate public archiving of model training datasets to facilitate reproducibility and cross-validation.
2. **Long-Term Durability Modeling**: Prioritize development of ML frameworks incorporating extended-duration (5–20 year) experimental data on carbonation, chloride ingress, and service-life prediction, addressing the critical gap between short-term strength optimization and long-term durability performance.
3. **Physics-Informed Neural Network Development**: Integrate domain knowledge from cement hydration chemistry, pore structure

mechanics, and transport phenomena as architectural constraints within neural networks to enhance interpretability and physical plausibility of predictions.

4. **Real-Time Field Implementation Systems**: Develop IoT-integrated platforms enabling continuous concrete property monitoring (electrical resistivity, ultrasonic velocity, temperature, moisture) coupled with online ML model updating for adaptive quality assurance.
5. **Regulatory Framework Development**: Collaborate with standards bodies (ASTM, EN, ISO) to develop performance-based specifications enabling ML-optimized mixes within code frameworks, establishing verification protocols and acceptable uncertainty ranges.
6. **Circular Economy Integration**: Expand ML optimization frameworks to systematically incorporate construction-demolition waste and emerging alternative materials, establishing material-specific predictive models for diverse waste streams.
7. **Cost-Benefit and Lifecycle Analysis**: Conduct comprehensive economic analyses quantifying ML system development and deployment costs relative to long-term material and environmental savings across multiple geographic regions and scale scenarios.

6. Conceptual Framework

The conceptual framework for ML-driven sustainable concrete optimization integrates four interconnected domains: **(1) Data Infrastructure**, **(2) Algorithmic Modeling**, **(3) Multi-Objective Optimization**, and **(4) Implementation & Validation**.

The **Data Infrastructure Domain** establishes the foundation through standardized experimental datasets capturing cement-based materials, aggregate characteristics, supplementary cementitious materials, admixtures, and environmental parameters. This domain emphasizes data quality, representativeness across geographic regions and material sourcing strategies, and transparent metadata documentation enabling reproducibility.

The **Algorithmic Modeling Domain** encompasses diverse ML approaches selected based on problem characteristics: supervised learning for strength prediction, unsupervised learning for material clustering, and reinforcement learning for adaptive optimization. Feature engineering transforms raw mix design variables into meaningful representations capturing nonlinear interactions and threshold effects.

The **Multi-Objective Optimization Domain** formulates competing objectives (strength, cost, CO_2 emissions, durability metrics) as constrained mathematical programs solved via metaheuristic algorithms generating Pareto-optimal solution sets. This domain emphasizes transparent tradeoff articulation enabling stakeholder participation in design selection.

The **Implementation & Validation Domain** translates algorithmic outputs into practice-ready guidance through explainability frameworks, pilot projects validating predictions on construction sites, and feedback loops incorporating field performance data to continuously improve model accuracy. This domain bridges research-practice translation gaps through iterative refinement cycles.

These domains interact through bidirectional feedback: field validation data refines algorithmic models; improved algorithms enable more ambitious optimization objectives; enhanced optimization justifies expanded data collection efforts; and implementation experience guides data infrastructure priorities. The framework emphasizes adaptive learning where each implementation cycle generates knowledge improving subsequent design iterations.

REFERENCES

[1] R. Rajbahadur, N. Kumar, P. K. Yadav, and M. S. Rawat, "Innovative approaches to sustainable concrete design: Exploring green materials for enhanced durability in civil engineering structures," *Journal of Neonatal Surgery*, 2025, doi: 10.52783/jns.v14.2188.

[2] P. Ziółkowski, “Influence of optimization algorithms and computational complexity on concrete compressive strength prediction machine learning models for concrete mix design,” *Materials*, 2025, doi: 10.3390/ma18061386.

[3] G. Gopu, “AI-driven optimization of eco-friendly concrete mix design using industrial by-products,” *2025 World Conference on Cutting-Edge Science and Technology (WCCEST)*, 2025, doi: 10.1109/WCCEST66994.2025.11389881.

[4] Q. Meng, H. Xu, and J. He, “Using machine learning for sustainable concrete material selection and optimization in building design,” *Journal of Computer Technology and Applied Mathematics*, 2025, doi: 10.70393/6a6374616d.323530.

[5] P. Hiremath *et al.*, “Investigating the mechanical properties, durability, and environmental impact of partial cement substitution with slag cement and rice husk ash for sustainable concrete production,” *ES Food & Agroforestry*, 2024, doi: 10.30919/esfaf1267.

[6] A. Aldawish and S. Kulasegaram, “Sustainability-focused evaluation of self-compacting concrete: Integrating explainable machine learning and mix design optimization,” *Applied Sciences*, 2026, doi: 10.3390/app16031460.

[7] C. Sun, K. Wang, Q. Liu, P. Wang, and P. Feng, “Machine-learning-based comprehensive properties prediction and mixture design optimization of ultra-high-performance concrete,” *Sustainability*, 2023, doi: 10.3390/su152115338.

[8] D. Zheng *et al.*, “Flexural strength prediction of steel fiber-reinforced concrete using artificial intelligence,” *Materials*, 2022, doi: 10.3390/ma15155194.

[9] B. Nandurkar *et al.*, “Optimization and predictive performance of fly ash-based sustainable concrete using integrated multitask deep learning framework with interpretable machine learning techniques,” *Scientific Reports*, 2025, doi: 10.1038/s41598-025-16678-y.

[10] H. K. Nguyen and T. T. Nguyen, “Artificial intelligence framework for concrete compressive strength prediction,” *Architecture Image Studies*, 2025, doi: 10.62754/ais.v6i4.668.

[11] J. Dai, Z. Zhang, X. Yang, Q. Wang, and J. He, “Machine learning prediction of concrete frost resistance and optimization design of mix proportions,” *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems*, 2024, doi: 10.3233/JIFS-236703.

[12] Y. Zhang, J. Peng, Z. Wang, M. Xi, J. Liu, and L. Xu, “Machine learning-assisted sustainable mix design of waste glass powder concrete with strength–cost–CO2 emissions trade-offs,” *Buildings*, 2025, doi: 10.3390/buildings15152640.

[13] L. K., J. R. K. C, K. A., and P. Meenalochini, “Sustainable concrete mix design using bio-waste materials and metaheuristic algorithms optimization,” *2026 International Conference on Sustainable and Futuristic Technologies (ICSFT)*, 2026, doi: 10.1109/ICSFT67370.2026.11566176.

[14] T. Huynh and Q. V. Ho, “Systematic assessment of the technical performance, durability, cost, and environmental impact of sustainable concrete incorporating fly ash and ground granulated blast-furnace slag,” *Environmental Progress & Sustainable Energy*, 2025, doi: 10.1002/ep.70050.

[15] E. Öztürk, C. Ince, Y. Borgianni, S. Derogar, A. M. Forster, and R. Ball, “Enhancing concrete durability and resource efficiency through rice husk ash incorporation: A data-driven approach,” *Sustainability*, 2025, doi: 10.3390/su17219382.

[16] M. Al-Hammadi, Z. Al-Huda, R. N. A. Algburi, and M. A. Al-antari, “SHAP-based explainable machine learning framework for interpreting SHCC mix design parameters,” *2025 5th International Conference on Emerging Smart Technologies and Applications (eSmarTA)*, 2025, doi: 10.1109/eSmarTA66764.2025.11131699.

[17] R. R. Khasani, F. Hermawan, and A. F. K. Khitam, “An artificial intelligence-based model for geopolymer concrete strength prediction,” *Media Komunikasi Teknik Sipil*, 2025, doi: 10.14710/mkts.v31i1.70716.

[18] A. A. Hashim and I. Najem, “Sustainable use of ground granulated blast-furnace slag as a partial substitute for cement: Workability, mechanical properties, durability, and environmental impact,” *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environment*, 2025, doi: 10.1088/1755-1315/1507/1/012027.

[19] N. Raveendran and V. Krishnan, “Engineering performance and environmental assessment of sustainable concrete incorporating nano silica and metakaolin as cementitious materials,” *Scientific Reports*, 2025, doi: 10.1038/s41598-025-85358-8.

[20] Y. Jing, J. C. Lee, W. C. Moon, J. L. Ng, M. K. Yew, and Y. Jin, “Durability and environmental evaluation of rice husk ash sustainable concrete containing carbon nanotubes,” *Scientific Reports*, 2025, doi: 10.1038/s41598-025-88927-z.

[21] M. A. Bakr, M. Farhan, S. Khursheed, N. Haq, and S. Hasnain, “Sustainable self-compacting recycled aggregate concrete incorporating industrial byproducts and agricultural waste: Rheological, strength, and durability properties,” *ACS Omega*, 2025, doi: 10.1021/acsomega.4c10374.

[22] H. Unegbu and D. Yawas, “Sustainable concrete solutions: Advancing low-carbon infrastructure with fly ash in nigeria’s construction industry,” *Journal of Sustainable Infrastructure*, 2025, doi: 10.61078/jsi.v4i2.43.

[23] M. N. Amin *et al.*, “Split tensile strength prediction of recycled aggregate-based sustainable concrete using artificial intelligence methods,” *Materials*, 2022, doi: 10.3390/ma15124296.

[24] A. Torre, P. Espinoza, S. Ramírez, and L. Shuan, “Integration of artificial intelligence and electrical resistivity for the prediction of compressive strength in steel fiber-reinforced concrete,” *Fibers*, 2026, doi: 10.3390/fib14050059.

[25] M. Mushthofa, J. Thedy, H. A. Lie, Purwanto, M. Ottelé, and M. Teguh, “Multi-target particle swarm optimization with machine learning surrogates for efficient concrete mix design,” *International Journal of Engineering and Technology Innovation*, 2026, doi: 10.46604/ijeti.2026.15905.

[26] J. Zhao, J. Zhou, and H. Zhang, “Enhanced mechanical and shielding properties of heavy concrete: A machine learning approach to mix proportion optimization.” *Applied Radiation and Isotopes*, 2026, doi: 10.1016/j.apradiso.2026.112585.

[27] M. H. Nguyen, M. H. Nguyen, D. Vo, C.-L. Hwang, and T. Huynh, “Eco-durability impact of rice husk ash and hybrid fibers on high-performance fiber-reinforced densified concrete,” *Environmental Progress & Sustainable Energy*, 2025, doi: 10.1002/ep.70030.

[28] K. Napte *et al.*, “Recent advances in sustainable concrete and steel alternatives for marine infrastructure,” *Sustainable Marine Structures*, 2025, doi: 10.36956/sms.v7i2.2072.

[29] M. Ajmal, D. Ahmed, M. S. Alam, N. Alzayani, R. Ismaeel, and S. I. Abba, “Compressive strength prediction of reactive powder concrete through artificial neural networks,” *2025 International Conference on Innovation and Intelligence for Informatics, Computing, and Technologies (3ICT)*, 2025, doi: 10.1109/3ict68299.2025.11442121.

[30] J. F. S. Gallardo, V. F. L. Batista, A. F. S. Gallardo, M. N. Moreno-García, and M. D. M. Vicente, “Optimizing mortar mix design for concrete roofing tiles using machine learning and particle packing theory: A case study,” *Applied Sciences*, 2025, doi: 10.3390/app16010236.

[31] A. Tanawade, A. S. Kothavade, G. S. Khandagale, G. B. Waybhase, and P. R. Joshi, "Development of a machine learning-driven interface for indigenous plastering machine: A mix design optimization approach," *The Indian Concrete Journal*, 2025, doi: 10.65302/icj.2025.99101.

[32] S. Paruthi *et al.*, "Leveraging silica fume as a sustainable supplementary cementitious material for enhanced durability and decarbonization in concrete," *Advance in Civil Engineering*, 2025, doi: 10.1155/adce/5513764.

[33] M. H. Islam, Z. N. Prova, M. H. R. Sobuz, N. J. Nijum, and F. S. Aditto, "Experimental investigation on fresh, hardened and durability characteristics of partially replaced e-waste plastic concrete: A sustainable concept with machine learning approaches," *Heliyon*, 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2025.e41924.

[34] O. Zaid, M. Ahmed, A. M. Yosri, and Talal. O. Alshammari, "Evaluating the impact of mine tailings wastes on the development of sustainable ultra high performance fiber reinforced concrete," *Scientific Reports*, 2025, doi: 10.1038/s41598-025-88683-0.

[35] K. S. Mojapelo *et al.*, "Durability and environmental impact of wastewater sludge ash as a cement replacement in concrete: Challenges and future directions," *Materials Circular Economy*, 2025, doi: 10.1007/s42824-025-00172-x.

[36] M. Samadi *et al.*, "Enhanced impact resistance of novel sustainable preplaced aggregate geopolymer concrete reinforced with steel mesh and 5D fibers," *Scientific Reports*, 2025, doi: 10.1038/s41598-025-14281-9.

[37] S. D, S. A, and H. T., "Utilization of plastic waste as replacement of natural aggregates in sustainable concrete: Effects on mechanical and durability properties." 2023, doi: 10.1007/s13762-023-04946-1.

[38] K. Hosen and A. Bărbulescu, "Sustainable concrete using ceramic tile waste as a substitute for brick aggregate," *Materials*, 2025, doi: 10.3390/ma18133093.

[39] D. Patah, A. Dasar, and N. Noor, "The effects of palm oil fuel ash on mechanical and durability properties of sustainable foamed concrete," *Journal of the Civil Engineering Forum*, 2025, doi: 10.22146/jcef.13749.

[40] P. Pawar, S. Patil, and S. Sathe, "Exploring the impact of red mud on the mechanical, durability, and microstructure properties of concrete," *World Journal of Engineering*, 2024, doi: 10.1108/wje-02-2024-0082.

[41] I. Varga, J. Ries, J. Speck, G. Grygar, and K. Harmon, "Internal curing with lightweight aggregate: The common sense contribution to sustainable concrete pavements," *Proceedings of the International Conference on Concrete Pavements*, 2025, doi: 10.33593/iccp.v10i1.408.

[42] T. H. Adigüzel, Y. Aydın, G. Bekdaş, and S. Niğdeli, "Prediction of concrete compressive strength with artificial intelligence applications," *WSEAS Transactions on Information Science and Applications*, 2025, doi: 10.37394/23209.2025.22.61.

[43] B. Shanmukh, B. S. V. B. Sasirekha, and V. R. S. Sampath, "Using artificial intelligence and machine learning model for prediction of uniaxial compressive strength of GGBS concrete," *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 2023, doi: 10.1088/1757-899X/1273/1/012002.

[44] O. Corbu, A. Popa, and S. Ghafari, "Artificial intelligence-based prediction of compressive strength in high-performance eco-friendly concrete incorporating recycled waste glass," *Materials*, 2026, doi: 10.3390/ma19061050.

[45] O. Şimşek, O. Katipoğlu, S. İpek, E. Güneyisi, and E. Güneyisi, “Investigating the rubberized concrete-filled steel tube composite columns and developing artificial intelligence-based analytical models for ultimate axial strength prediction,” *Structural Concrete*, 2026, doi: 10.1002/suco.70472.

[46] T. Kondratieva and A. Chepurnenko, “Prediction of the strength of the concrete-filled tubular steel columns using the artificial intelligence,” *Modern Trends in Construction, Urban and Territorial Planning*, 2024, doi: 10.23947/2949-1835-2024-3-3-40-48.

[47] Y. Onal, U. C. Turhal, and A. Ozodabas, “Optimizing the compressive strength prediction of geopolymer lime mortars using the PCA-ELM artificial intelligence model,” *Physica Scripta*, 2025, doi: 10.1088/1402-4896/adbe0c.

[48] H. O. Oziok and E. E. Ezea, “ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE-BASED PREDICTION OF COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF METAKAOLIN-SAW DUST GEOPOLYMER CONCRETE FOR SUSTAINABLE CONSTRUCTION APPLICATIONS,” *Journal of Management and Engineering Sciences*, 2025, doi: 10.61552/jmes.2025.04.005.

[49] S. R and V. P, “Artificial neural network-based strength prediction model using sustainable material in concrete,” *2025 International Conference on Data Science, Agents & Artificial Intelligence (ICDSAAI)*, 2025, doi: 10.1109/ICDSAAI65575.2025.11011685.

[50] O. Rudenko, D. Galkina, M. Sadenova, N. Beisekenov, M. Kulisz, and M. M. Begentayev, “Modelling the properties of aerated concrete on the basis of raw materials and ash-and-slag wastes using machine learning paradigm,” *Frontiers in Materials*, 2024, doi: 10.3389/fmats.2024.1481871.

[51] M. Safiuddin, S. Raman, Md. A. Salam, and M. Z. Jumaat, “Modeling of compressive strength for self-consolidating high-strength concrete incorporating palm oil fuel ash,” *Materials*, 2016, doi: 10.3390/ma9050396.

[52] S. K. Pasupunuri, N. Thom, and L. Li, “Roughness prediction of jointed plain concrete pavement using physics informed neural networks,” *Transportation Research Record Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, 2024, doi: 10.1177/03611981241245991.

[53] F. H. Chiew, “Prediction of blast furnace slag concrete compressive strength using artificial neural networks and multiple regression analysis,” *2019 International Conference on Computer and Drone Applications (IConDA)*, 2019, doi: 10.1109/IConDA47345.2019.9034920.

[54] A. Torre, F. García, I. Moromi, P. Espinoza, and L. Acuña, “Prediction of compression strength of high performance concrete using artificial neural networks,” 2015, doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/582/1/012010.

[55] M. Kovačević and F. Antoniou, “Machine-learning-based consumption estimation of prestressed steel for prestressed concrete bridge construction,” *Buildings*, 2023, doi: 10.3390/buildings13051187.

[56] I. Ilyas *et al.*, “Advanced machine learning modeling approach for prediction of compressive strength of FRP confined concrete using multiphysics genetic expression programming,” *Polymers*, 2022, doi: 10.3390/polym14091789.

[57] A. K. Al-Shamiri, J.-H. Kim, T.-F. Yuan, and Y. Yoon, “Modeling the compressive strength of high-strength concrete: An extreme learning approach,” *Construction and Building Materials*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/J.CONBUILDMAT.2019.02.165.

[58] J. Baili *et al.*, “Experiments and predictive modeling of optimized fiber-reinforced concrete columns having FRP rebars and hoops,” *Mechanics of Advanced Materials and Structures*, 2022, doi: 10.1080/15376494.2022.2108527.

[59] A. Jha, R. S. Parihar, V. Lodhi, R. Misra, B. Kumar, and A. Udeniya, “A review on the recycling waste materials for green concrete,” *European Journal of Applied Science, Engineering and Technology*, 2024, doi: 10.59324/ejaset.2024.2(4).04.

[60] Z. Yuan, “The characteristics and applications of sustainable green concrete,” *Highlights in Science Engineering and Technology*, 2022, doi: 10.54097/hset.v18i.2645.

[61] E. Bakhoum and Y. M. Mater, “Decision analysis for the influence of incorporating waste materials on green concrete properties,” *International Journal of Concrete Structures and Materials*, 2022, doi: 10.1186/s40069-022-00553-5.

[62] M. Osial, A. Pręgowska, S. Wilczewski, W. Urbańska, and M. Giersig, “Waste management for green concrete solutions: A concise critical review,” *Recycling*, 2022, doi: 10.3390/recycling7030037.

[63] S.-C. Chen, W. Lin, R. Huang, and H. Hsu, “Performance of green concrete and inorganic coating materials,” *Materials*, 2021, doi: 10.3390/ma14040832.

[64] S. Setiyono and N. B. B. A. Wahid, “Utilization of waste based construction materials advancing towards a green economy,” *Journal of Business Management and Economic Development*, 2025, doi: 10.59653/jbmed.v3i02.1534.

[65] G. F. Huseien *et al.*, “Sustainability of recycling waste ceramic tiles in the green concrete industry: A comprehensive review,” *Buildings*, 2025, doi: 10.3390/buildings15142406.

[66] O. Y. Bayraktar, S. Turhal, A. Benli, J. Shi, and G. Kaplan, “Application of recycled aggregates and biomass ash in fibre-reinforced green roller compacted concrete pavement-technical and environmental assessment,” *International Journal of Pavement Engineering*, 2025, doi: 10.1080/10298436.2025.2458140.

[67] N. Gasik-Kowalska and A. Koper, “Green concrete production technology with the addition of recycled ceramic aggregate,” *Sustainability*, 2025, doi: 10.3390/su17073028.

[68] H. S. Joseph *et al.*, “A comprehensive review on recycling of construction demolition waste in concrete,” *Sustainability*, 2023, doi: 10.3390/su15064932.

[69] P. Narayanan, “A comparative study of green concrete incorporating rec-con aggregate to develop sustainable construction materials,” *International Journal for Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology*, 2019, doi: 10.22214/ijraset.2019.5257.

[70] M. Sambucci, I. Biblioteca, and M. Valente, “Life cycle assessment (LCA) of 3D concrete printing and casting processes for cementitious materials incorporating ground waste tire rubber,” *Recycling*, 2023, doi: 10.3390/recycling8010015.

[71] N. Mohammed, A. Asiz, M. Khasawneh, H. Mewada, and T. Sultana, “Machine learning and RSM-CCD analysis of green concrete made from waste water plastic bottle caps: Towards performance and optimization,” *Mechanics of Advanced Materials and Structures*, 2023, doi: 10.1080/15376494.2023.2238220.

[72] Z. Yousuf and V. Hlavička, “Sustainable use of construction waste: Fire resistance and strength characteristics of recycled aggregate concrete for sustainable concrete,” *Periodica polytechnica. Civil engineering*, 2025, doi: 10.3311/ppci.40330.

[73] P. D. Maida, C. Sciancalepore, E. Radi, L. Lanzoni, and D. Milanese, “Recycling foundry sands in concrete: A comparative study on the use of green sand and chemically bonded sand as partial replacements for natural sand,” *Materials*, 2025, doi: 10.3390/ma18184245.

[74] N. Yadav, K. Meshram, U. Mishra, P. Lahre, and A. Imam, "Green concrete innovations: Recycling construction demolition waste," *2026 6th International Conference on Recent Trends in Computer Science and Technology (ICRTCST)*, 2026, doi: 10.1109/ICRTCST68392.2026.11545329.

[75] W. N. Alsheddi *et al.*, "Green ground: Construction and demolition waste prediction using a deep learning algorithm," *Technologies*, 2025, doi: 10.3390/technologies13060247.

[76] L. Chen, D. Yang, M. Liu, J. Zhao, and X. Mao, "Preparation of green concrete using recycled aggregate in alkali-activated concrete," *Journal of Sustainable Cement-Based Materials*, 2025, doi: 10.1080/21650373.2025.2500721.

[77] J. Jiang, X. Zhou, Y. Zheng, P. Wu, G. Jiang, and B. Jian, "Recycling of sewage sludge ash in cold-bonded artificial lightweight aggregate for sustainable lightweight concrete," *Scientific Reports*, 2025, doi: 10.1038/s41598-025-13133-w.

[78] R. Khattab, H. H. Abo-Almaged, M. M. Ali, H. Sadek, and M. A. Marzouk, "Effect of biological and agricultural foaming agents on concrete waste for the preparation of porous ceramic materials," *Scientific Reports*, 2026, doi: 10.1038/s41598-026-52176-5.

[79] A. Bonoli, S. Zanni, and F. Serrano-Bernardo, "Sustainability in building and construction within the framework of circular cities and european new green deal. The contribution of concrete recycling," *Sustainability*, 2021, doi: 10.3390/SU13042139.