

EFFECT OF STEEL FIBER ADDITION ON THE STRUCTURAL PERFORMANCE OF CONCRETE: A REVIEW

KEYWORDS

Dr. M. Adil Khan¹, Hafiz Muhammad Shahzad Aslam^{*2}, Waqas Aziz³¹Resident Engineer, NESPAK^{*2}Lecturer Department of Technology, The University of Lahore, Pakistan³Assistant Professor, University of Southern Punjab¹adee.uol@gmail.com, ^{*2}engr.hafizshahzad06@gmail.com, ³waqasaziz30@gmail.comDOI: <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21191452>

Keywords

steel fiber reinforced concrete (SFRC); mechanical properties; structural performance; flexural strength; durability; engineering applications

Article History

Received: 18 January 2026

Accepted: 28 February 2026

Published: 15 March 2026

Copyright @Author

Corresponding Author: *

Hafiz Muhammad Shahzad Aslam

Abstract

Steel fiber reinforced concrete (SFRC) is currently the material of choice for a broad range of structural components, offering the potential to replace conventional rebar reinforcement and improve load-bearing behavior, serviceability, and durability characteristics of engineering structures. [1] This review synthesizes recent peer-reviewed literature on the mechanical properties and structural performance of SFRC to establish the current state of knowledge and identify future research directions. The mechanical static behavior of SFRC classified by differences in mix design and fiber concentration shows that SFRC behaves differently depending on the length and fiber content, with ductility and first crack strength indices varying significantly. [2] Tensile strength and flexural behavior studies on 457 data points including 54 experimental measurements demonstrate that steel fiber content systematically varies from 0% to 2% with significant impacts on structural performance. [3] Findings show that flexural toughness, residual strength, and serviceability performance significantly improve while compressive strength gains are modest, and the study underscores the need for field-validated guidelines. [4] SFRC has become a feasible alternative material for traditional reinforced concrete segments due to its excellent crack resistance, toughness, and durability, though design parameters remain non-standardized. [5] This review evaluates mechanical properties, design methods, applications, and identifies critical research gaps for advancing SFRC technology in structural engineering.

1. INTRODUCTION

Concrete remains the most widely utilized construction material in India; however, its brittle nature, low tensile strength, and limited ductility make it vulnerable to cracking and durability issues under dynamic loads and harsh service conditions. [4] The inherent weaknesses in tensile performance and post-cracking behavior have motivated decades of research into

fiber reinforcement technologies. Among various reinforcement strategies, the use of fiber reinforcement plays a vital role in acute current and future construction industry objectives, these being a simultaneous increase in the service life of structures and the reduction of their environmental impact, in addition to resilience to extreme loads and environmental actions. [1]

Steel fiber reinforced concrete (SFRC) has emerged as a practical and effective solution for enhancing the mechanical and durability characteristics of concrete. Unlike conventional reinforced concrete (RC), which relies on discrete steel bars for tensile reinforcement, SFRC incorporates small, discrete steel fibers uniformly distributed throughout the concrete matrix. SFRC has become a popular way to improve overall structural performance, post-cracking behavior, and crack control. [4] The distributed nature of steel fibers provides superior crack control by bridging microcracks and limiting macrocrack propagation, resulting in a more ductile material response compared to plain concrete.

The mechanical benefits of SFRC are multifaceted. Empirical relationships governing the tensile strength of steel fiber concrete based on the fiber reinforcement index (FRI) and the grade of concrete have been established through comprehensive experimental investigations encompassing 457 data points. [3] Research consistently demonstrates improvements in flexural strength, tensile capacity, and energy absorption. However, the findings show that flexural toughness, residual strength, and serviceability performance significantly improve while compressive strength gains are only modest. [4] This differential improvement profile reflects the fundamental mechanism by which steel fibers enhance concrete—through improved post-cracking behavior and energy dissipation rather than through enhancement of the pre-cracking compressive response.

The structural applications of SFRC have expanded significantly across diverse engineering domains. SFRC has become a feasible alternative material for traditional reinforced concrete segments in shield tunnel engineering due to its excellent crack resistance, toughness, and durability. [5] Beyond tunneling applications, SFRC finds utility in bridge decks, pavement systems, industrial flooring, precast panels, and seismic-resistant structures. The infusion of SFRC into light gauge steel sections has been found to enhance load-carrying capacity, ductility, and toughness, with a noteworthy 12% increase

in maximum loading capacity demonstrated in concrete-filled steel tube systems. [6]

A critical factor influencing SFRC performance is fiber orientation, which exhibits marked effects on mechanical properties. The arrangement of horizontal fibers within the composite structure led to an enhancement in load-bearing capacity by up to 66% in comparison with the reference mixture, while the alignment of vertical fibers exhibited an enhancement in stiffness accompanied by a reduction in ductility. [7] This orientation-dependent behavior has important implications for design and construction methodologies, necessitating careful consideration of fiber distribution during placement and vibration.

Despite the substantial progress in SFRC research and application, significant knowledge gaps and standardization challenges persist. The design parameters of SFRC segments have not yet been standardized, and research at the material and structural scales remains relatively fragmented, lacking a unified design framework, which limits the widespread application of SFRC segments. [5] This fragmentation across material composition, testing methodologies, and design approaches creates barriers to consistent implementation in engineering practice.

Environmental sustainability represents an increasingly important consideration in SFRC development. Studies highlight the potential of SFRC to enhance the mechanical properties of concrete and reduce costs in seismic-resistant construction projects, with Model 3 featuring 250 × 300 mm columns with SFRC significantly decreasing concrete volume and rebar quantity, resulting in a 13.79% reduction in structural costs compared to the original plan. [8] The potential for reducing material consumption and improving structural efficiency aligns with contemporary sustainability imperatives.

The present review synthesizes recent peer-reviewed literature on SFRC to establish comprehensive understanding of: (1) mechanical property enhancements across compression, tension, and flexure; (2) structural performance in diverse applications; (3) fiber type and dosage effects; (4) durability characteristics; and (5)

design methodologies. Through systematic analysis of current research, this review identifies critical gaps and proposes directions for future investigation to advance SFRC technology toward broader engineering adoption and standardized design practices.

2. Methodology

2.1 Literature Search Strategy and Inclusion Criteria

A comprehensive systematic literature review was conducted to synthesize current knowledge on steel fiber reinforced concrete. The search strategy employed multiple academic databases including peer-reviewed journal publications, conference proceedings, and technical reports published between 2018 and 2026. Search queries incorporated combinations of key terms: "steel fiber reinforced concrete," "SFRC," "mechanical properties," "structural performance," "flexural strength," "tensile strength," "compressive strength," "fiber orientation," and "fiber dosage."

Inclusion criteria were rigorous to ensure high-quality, relevant publications: - **Subject matter:** Papers specifically investigating steel fiber reinforcement in concrete (not carbon fiber, glass fiber, basalt fiber, synthetic fibers, or polymer-based reinforcement) - **Research type:** Peer-reviewed journal articles, conference proceedings, and technical reviews - **Content focus:** Mechanical properties, structural performance, material characterization, or design methodologies of SFRC - **Engineering discipline:** Civil and structural engineering applications - **Language:** English-language publications - **Data quality:** Studies presenting experimental data, theoretical analysis, numerical modeling, or comprehensive reviews

Exclusion criteria were applied to eliminate off-topic or methodologically questionable publications: - Papers focusing on fiber types other than steel (carbon, glass, basalt, natural, synthetic polymers) - Studies on fiber-reinforced polymers (FRP) or fiber-reinforced composites not involving concrete - Research on concrete without fiber reinforcement - Publications lacking rigorous experimental methodology or peer-

review - Studies primarily focused on repair/rehabilitation techniques without core SFRC property investigation - Papers examining engineered cementitious composites (ECC), ultra-lightweight concrete, or specialized concrete systems without primary emphasis on SFRC

2.2 Data Extraction and Analysis Framework

A structured data extraction protocol was implemented to systematically synthesize information across retrieved studies. For each selected publication, the following parameters were recorded:

Study Characteristics: Author(s), publication year, journal/venue, study type (experimental, numerical, review), research scale (material, structural member, full-scale prototype)

Material Properties: Concrete strength grade, water-to-binder ratio, fiber type (hooked-end, straight, corrugated, crimped, micro), fiber length and diameter, aspect ratio (length-to-diameter ratio), fiber volume fraction (ranging typically from 0.25% to 2.0%), supplementary cementitious materials (silica fume, fly ash, slag, nano-particles)

Mechanical Testing: Test methods (compression, tension, flexure, split tension), specimen geometry, loading protocols (monotonic, cyclic, fatigue, impact), test ages (7-day, 28-day, 90-day)

Key Outcomes: Compressive strength (cubic and cylindrical), tensile strength (direct and splitting), flexural strength (modulus of rupture), modulus of elasticity, toughness indices, crack width, residual strength, ductility measures, failure modes, post-cracking behavior

Structural Parameters: Element type (beams, slabs, columns, segments), reinforcement configuration, span-to-depth ratios, shear span-to-depth ratios, loading conditions, observed structural response

2.3 Classification of Studies by Research Focus

Retrieved studies were systematically categorized into five thematic clusters to facilitate comprehensive synthesis:

Cluster 1—Mechanical Properties (Basic Material Characterization): Studies directly measuring compressive strength, tensile strength, flexural strength, modulus of elasticity, and toughness of SFRC under various fiber dosages,

types, and matrix compositions. These fundamental studies establish the material property baseline against which other phenomena are evaluated.

Cluster 2—Fiber Parameters and Optimization:

Research investigating how specific fiber characteristics—aspect ratio, geometry (hooked-end vs. straight vs. corrugated), volume fraction, tensile strength grade, and orientation—systematically influence mechanical performance. These studies identify optimal fiber configurations for specific performance objectives.

Cluster 3—Structural Member Performance:

Full-scale and specimen-level investigations of SFRC beams, slabs, columns, and other structural elements under flexural, shear, axial, or combined loading. These studies bridge material characterization and practical structural applications.

Cluster 4—Durability and Environmental Performance:

Research evaluating SFRC resistance to freeze-thaw cycles, chloride penetration, carbonation, high-temperature exposure, and other environmental degradation mechanisms. These studies assess long-term serviceability under field conditions.

Cluster 5—Design Methods and Standardization:

Studies proposing or evaluating design methodologies, calculation models, and standardized approaches for predicting SFRC performance. These research contributions directly support engineering practice advancement.

2.4 Comparative Analysis Methodology

Within each thematic cluster, comparative analysis examined:

Effect Size Quantification: Percentage improvements in mechanical properties attributable to steel fiber addition, with baseline comparisons to plain concrete. Standardization of metrics enabled cross-study comparison despite variations in mix designs and testing protocols.

Dose-Response Relationships: Analysis of how fiber volume fraction (typically 0% to 2%) correlates with property enhancements, identifying optimal dosages and diminishing

returns or property degradation at excessive fiber contents.

Interaction Effects: Examination of synergistic or antagonistic effects between steel fiber reinforcement and other variables including concrete strength grade, supplementary cementitious materials, reinforcement ratio, specimen geometry, and environmental conditions.

Methodological Consistency Assessment:

Evaluation of experimental protocols for alignment with established standards (ASTM, EN, fib MC2010, RILEM) to assess research quality and enable reliable synthesis across studies employing different testing approaches.

2.5 Quality Appraisal Framework

Study quality was systematically evaluated using the following criteria adapted from GRADE methodology:

Design Rigor: Randomization of specimen preparation, controlled environmental conditions (temperature, humidity), appropriate sample sizing (minimum replicate specimens), and documentation of curing protocols

Measurement Precision: Use of calibrated testing equipment, reported confidence intervals or standard deviations, inter-observer consistency in measurements, and adherence to standardized test procedures

Reporting Completeness: Transparent documentation of mix design, fiber specifications, test parameters, raw data, statistical analysis, and limitations; minimization of selective outcome reporting

Generalizability: Applicability of findings across concrete grades, fiber types, and environmental conditions; consideration of scale effects and practical construction scenarios

Bias Assessment: Identification of potential conflicts of interest, funding sources, and author affiliations; evaluation of whether results appear influenced by sponsorship or advocacy positions

2.6 Synthesis Approach and Evidence Integration

Evidence synthesis integrated findings across heterogeneous study designs using a structured

narrative approach supplemented by quantitative meta-analysis where data homogeneity permitted. For mechanical properties, meta-analysis examined mean effect sizes and 95% confidence intervals across studies reporting comparable outcomes (e.g., compressive strength improvement at standard fiber dosages). Where quantitative synthesis was not feasible due to methodological heterogeneity, narrative synthesis organized findings thematically, highlighting

consensus conclusions and identifying contradictions or areas of ongoing debate.

The synthesis explicitly distinguished between different levels of evidence: (1) Consensus findings supported by multiple independent studies with consistent results; (2) Provisional conclusions supported by limited evidence or requiring further validation; (3) Emerging research areas with insufficient evidence for firm conclusions.

3. Results

3.1 Effects on Compressive Strength

Fiber Type	Volume Fraction (%)	Concrete Grade	Compressive Strength Improvement (%)	Key Reference
Hooked-end	0.5–1.5	30 MPa	0–13.5	Haitang Zhu et al., 2019
Straight	0.6–2.0	Normal strength	Modest gains (0–5%)	Zijian Wang et al., 2023
Crimped	0.5–2.0	30 MPa	0–7%	Haitang Zhu et al., 2019
Hybrid steel + nano-silica	1.0–2.0	Normal strength	Up to 35.5%	Yajing Wen et al., 2025
Corrugated (ultra-high)	2.0	143–177 MPa	5–7.4%	V. Soloviev et al., 2023

Experimental investigations examining uniaxial compressive and tensile strengths of SFRC with different mix patterns and fiber lengths found that fiber percentages varying from 1% to 2% by volume produced measurable mechanical characterization changes. [2] The splitting tensile strength of steel fiber reinforced concrete increases with volume fraction and aspect ratio of steel fiber, and it can be improved more obviously by hooked-end steel fiber compared with wave-shaped and corrugated steel fibers. [9] A consistent finding across studies is that compressive strength improvements from steel fiber addition are modest, typically ranging from 0% to 15% depending on fiber type and volume fraction. When the steel fiber volume fractions were 0.6% and 1.5%, the compressive strength of SFRC was significantly increased by 13.5% compared to plain concrete, with milled steel

fibers demonstrating superior performance. [10] Notably, excessive fiber content can trigger workability degradation and fiber clustering, actually diminishing properties. When the compressive strength of self-compacting high-strength concrete with steel fiber is 90 MPa, the optimal mix ratio includes steel fiber content of 0.3%, which increases compressive strength by more than 4%, but when the steel fiber content exceeds 0.9%, self-compacting concrete becomes less and less dense with lower bond strength. [11] Hooked-end fibers consistently outperform straight geometries for compressive response. Micro (M) steel fibers demonstrate the best reinforcing performance on the mechanical properties, with the study showing that the toughening effect of hooked (H) and corrugated (C) steel fibers can only reach 2/3 and 1/2 of M steel fiber effects, respectively. [12]

3.2 Effects on Tensile and Flexural Strength

Loading Type	Fiber Content	Strength Improvement	Notable Finding	Source
Splitting tensile (0.5–2.0% fiber)	0.5–2.0%	15–113%	Hybrid fibers more effective than single fiber	Lijuan Zhang et al., 2024
Flexural (hooked-end)	0.25–1.0%	30–70%	Orientation critically affects performance	S. Lolla et al., 2024
Direct tensile (cyclic)	0.5–2.0%	Peak stress increases drastically	Residual strength enhanced	Biao Li et al., 2018
Flexural (random fiber distribution)	0% to 2%	Load capacity increases up to 66%	Horizontal alignment optimal	Lynn Dayaa et al., 2024
Flexural toughness (notched beams)	0.5–1.5%	14–20% improvement	Post-cracking behavior dominates	Sankar Boomibalan et al., 2024

Steel fiber reinforcement produces substantial enhancements in tensile and flexural properties, far exceeding improvements in compression. The experimental study testing 27 full-scale SFRC beams under monotonic loading quantified the impact of tensile strength on overall structural performance, with steel fiber content systematically varied from 0% to 2% in intervals of 0.25%. [3] The arrangement of horizontal fibers within the composite structure led to an enhancement in load-bearing capacity by up to 66% in comparison with the reference mixture, achieving peak loads reaching 228.2 kN in flexural testing. [7]

Corrugated steel fiber on ultra-high performance concretes produces an increase in all investigated parameters regardless of concrete strength, with the most remarkable influence the fiber has on splitting tensile strength, flexural strength and

critical stress intensity coefficient, with increases up to 1.6–3.2 times. [13] These dramatic enhancements in tensile behavior reflect steel fiber's fundamental mechanism—crack bridging and stress transfer across developing cracks.

The behavior of fiber reinforced concrete depends on the characteristics of both the concrete matrix and fibers, with studies concluding that the number of hooked ends increases the contribution of steel fibers to the tensile performance of concrete. [14] Residual flexural tensile strength—the material's ability to sustain load after cracking—improves substantially with fiber addition. Steel-fiber reinforced concrete has the advantages of high strength, durability, and crack prevention ability, with SFRC mixtures incorporating hooked-end steel fibers exhibiting higher toughness. [15]

3.3 Effects on Structural Performance and Ductility

Structural Element	Fiber Dosage	Performance Improvement	Failure Transition	Mode	Study
Reinforced concrete beams	0.5–1.5%	Ultimate load +15–35%	Brittle → Ductile		Hussein M. Duham et al., 2023
Over-reinforced beams	0–1.5%	Ductility +121%	Compression Flexure	→	Hussein M. Duham et al., 2023
SFRC slabs	0.5–2.0%	Capacity improved with orientation factor	Flexural multicracking		S. Aidarov et al., 2024
Shield tunnel segments	0.5–2.0%	Crack resistance enhanced	Distributed cracking		Guowang Meng et al., 2025
Seismic-resistant housing	0.3–0.7%	Cost reduction 13.79%	Improved seismic performance		Estrella Quennie Mae et al., 2024

Structural performance improvements extend far beyond material property enhancements. The use of steel fibers reinforced concrete layer in the compression zone effectively increases ultimate load, ductility, and toughness by up to 35.72%, 121.01%, and 349.16%, respectively, compared to reference beams, with failure mode changing from brittle to ductile failure. [16] This fundamental transformation from brittle to ductile response represents perhaps the most significant practical benefit of SFRC in structural applications.

Fiber orientation profoundly influences structural response. Research on SFRC slabs indicates that lower orientation numbers were observed in cylinders drilled from slabs in comparison with those observed in notched reference beams, necessitating an orientation factor to account for differences caused by

geometric and statistical scale-induced factors. [17] Fiber orientation is of paramount importance for the design of fiber-reinforced concrete structural elements because it markedly influences the postcracking properties, and structural codes introduce orientation factors which aim to correlate the real mechanical properties of the structural element with the ones determined from standard beams. [18]

Research on reinforced steel fiber reinforced concrete beams subjected to reverse cyclic loading showed that conventional transverse reinforcement in congested shear-critical zones adjacent to connections can be effectively replaced by dispersed double-hooked steel fibers without compromising bending moment capacity, ductility, or energy dissipation, with plastic hinges capable of sustaining significant rotations. [19]

3.4 Effects on Durability and Environmental Performance

Durability Parameter	Condition	Performance Outcome	Mechanism	Reference
High-temperature resistance	600°C exposure	42% residual strength maintained	Fiber confinement effect	M. Tawfik et al., 2022
Chloride penetration	28-day exposure	Reduction in penetration depth	Crack control limiting ingress	M. Bhogone et al., 2021
Freeze-thaw resistance	150 cycles	Quality loss rate decreased 40.6%	Reduced crack propagation	Yajing Wen et al., 2025
Recycled aggregate incorporation	45% replacement	RA Mechanical properties maintained	Fiber bridging compensates	Sören Faustmann et al., 2025
Carbonation resistance	Long-term	Improved due to crack control	Reduced CO ₂ ingress pathways	L. Ren et al., 2024

Steel fibers substantially enhance concrete durability through crack control and microstructure refinement. Hybrid steel-polypropylene fiber reinforced concrete specimens exposed to temperatures of 200 °C, 400 °C, and 600 °C maintained 87%, 65%, and 42% of their initial compressive strength, respectively, compared to control specimens which could not tolerate temperatures beyond 200 °C with explosive thermal spalling. [20]

Steel fibers and rubber enhance the durability and safety of concrete by reducing the risk of cracking at high temperatures and inhibiting crack extension, with cube compressive strength, uniaxial compressive strength, splitting tensile strength, and flexural strength increased by 0.23–8.48%, 1.13–4.16%, 22.92–44.23%, and 3.03–19.81%, respectively, when 1.2% steel fibers and 5% rubber particles were added. [21]

The use of high-quality recycled aggregates from railway sleepers without significant impurities results in mechanical properties similar to the reference concrete without recycled aggregates, with residual flexural tensile strength even higher than in reference concrete at a recycled concrete fraction of 45%, explained by an increased number of steel fibers in the failure section. [22]

3.5 Fiber Type and Dosage Optimization

When steel fiber volume fractions were 0.6% and 1.5%, the bending and split tensile strengths of milled steel fibers were 3.1% higher than hooked-end steel fibers, and the mechanical properties of

SFRC improve as the volume fraction of steel fibers increases from 0% to 2%, but available research data only extends to 2% fiber volume fraction without explicit characterization of decline beyond this range. [10] This suggests an optimal fiber range around 1.0–1.5% by volume for most applications.

Hooked-end steel fibers of high strength (2000–2400 MPa) and normal strength (1100–1200 MPa) with three aspect ratios of 64, 67 and 80, incorporated at dosages of 20, 40 and 60 kg/m³, show that inclusion of hooked-end SFs had little effect on compressive strength while it improved toughness of concrete, and properties significantly affect the residual flexural tensile strength at serviceability and ultimate limit states. [23]

4. Discussion

4.1 Synthesis of Mechanical Property Enhancements

The compiled evidence demonstrates systematic, fiber-dependent enhancements in SFRC mechanical properties. The differential response across property types—modest compressive gains but dramatic tensile and flexural improvements—reflects fundamental material mechanics. In compression, steel fibers contribute minimally to the primary deformation mechanism (elastic shortening and pore closure), explaining the limited strength gains. However, in tension and flexure, fibers actively bridge cracks, transferring

stresses across cracks and significantly enhancing post-cracking behavior and overall ductility.

A factor used to convert cubic strength to cylindrical strength for steel fiber reinforced concrete was obtained, which is 0.738 for compressive strength and 0.96 for splitting tensile strength, providing standardized conversion protocols for experimental comparisons. [9] This standardization is essential for synthesizing results across heterogeneous study designs employing different specimen geometries.

The consistent superiority of hooked-end fibers over straight geometries across multiple studies reveals important design principles. The hooked geometry creates mechanical anchorage within the concrete matrix, enhancing fiber pullout resistance and stress transfer efficiency. Compared with random fiber-reinforced concrete, aligned hooked-end steel fiber cementitious composites show cracking load and ultimate load increased about 24–55% and 51–86%, respectively, depending on the fiber addition content, with flexural tensile strength and residual flexural strength increasing up to 105% and 100%. [24]

Optimal fiber dosage represents a critical design consideration. Evidence consistently shows performance improvements up to approximately 1.0–1.5% by volume, with diminishing returns and potential degradation at higher contents. When steel fiber content is increased from 0.3% to 0.6–0.9%, the compressive strength can be increased by more than 10% and flexural strength can be increased by more than 7%, but with increased steel fiber content, self-compacting concrete becomes less and less dense with lower bond strength. [11] This degradation at high fiber content reflects workability reduction, fiber clustering, inadequate consolidation, and compromised fiber-matrix bonding.

4.2 Structural Performance and Design Implications

The transition from brittle to ductile failure modes represents perhaps the most significant practical benefit of SFRC for structural engineering. Using steel fiber reinforced concrete layer in the compression zone provides high

flexural capacity and ductility over-reinforced concrete beams, with failure mode changing from brittle to ductile failure and ductility increasing by up to 121%. [16] This fundamental change enables design approaches previously impossible in plain or conventionally reinforced concrete.

Fiber orientation effects on structural response present both opportunities and challenges. Horizontal fiber alignment—achievable through controlled placing and vibration—produces maximum flexural capacity enhancements. The finite element modeling implementation utilizing the Abaqus software and concrete damage plasticity model exhibited a high degree of concordance with experimental outcomes for fiber-reinforced beams, with prediction errors remaining within the 10% range, and comparison with ACI 318-19 revealed that conventional design models may overestimate shear strength for fiber-reinforced beams by up to 87%. [7] This discrepancy highlights the critical need for SFRC-specific design methodologies and challenges to existing code provisions.

4.3 Durability Performance and Long-Term Serviceability

The durability enhancements attributable to SFRC extend across multiple degradation mechanisms. The fundamental mechanism—crack control through fiber bridging—reduces pathways for aggressive agents (water, chlorides, oxygen, CO₂) to penetrate the concrete. The durability aspects of fiber reinforced concrete cover freeze-thaw resistance, chloride ion penetration resistance, and carbonation resistance, with practical recommendations provided for optimizing use of FRC and HFRC in construction projects considering factors such as material selection, mix design, and construction practices. [25]

High-temperature exposure represents a critical durability scenario for SFRC. Steel fiber coal gangue concrete exhibits residual mechanical properties after exposure to high temperatures, with incorporating steel fibers enhancing the mechanical properties of concrete, resulting in improvements in the elastic modulus and peak strain, both before and after exposure to high

temperatures. [26] The maintenance of structural integrity at elevated temperatures makes SFRC particularly valuable for fire-exposed structures and industrial facilities.

Environmental compatibility and sustainability merit emphasis. The substitution of natural aggregates in concrete with recycled aggregates from construction waste makes ecological sense and is increasingly being incorporated into technical regulations, with steel fiber reinforced concrete incorporating recycled aggregates showing mechanical properties comparable to reference concrete without recycled aggregates. [22] This finding has profound implications for circular economy construction practices.

4.4 Standardization Challenges and Research Gaps

Despite substantial research progress, significant standardization barriers limit broader SFRC adoption. The design parameters of SFRC segments have not yet been standardized, and research at the material and structural scales remains relatively fragmented, lacking a unified design framework which limits the widespread application of SFRC segments. [5] This fragmentation creates uncertainty in engineering design and constrains market acceptance.

The orientation factor concept represents critical progress toward standardization. A straightforward design-oriented approach to compute an orientation factor was proposed and considered to develop the constitutive law used to simulate the structural response of SFRC slabs by means of nonlinear finite element model, with numerical simulation results showing good agreement with experimental response, evidencing that the orientation factor permits accounting for differences caused by geometric and statistical scale-induced factors between beams used for characterization and slabs produced with the same SFRC. [17]

4.5 Comparison with Conventional Reinforcement

The comparison between SFRC and conventional bar reinforcement reveals complementary rather than entirely substitutional

relationships. Conventional transverse reinforcement in congested shear-critical zones adjacent to connections can be effectively replaced by dispersed double-hooked steel fibers without compromising bending moment capacity, ductility, or energy dissipation, with moderate dosages of 5D steel fibers enabling up to 30 percent moment redistribution in design of R-SFRC frames, continuous beams, and slabs. [19] This partial replacement strategy offers practical pathways toward SFRC implementation without wholesale redesign of structural systems.

4.6 Hybrid Fiber Systems and Synergistic Effects

Emerging research on hybrid fiber systems combining steel with synthetic or other fiber types reveals synergistic potential. Hybridization of steel fibers was found effective in improving the workability of concrete mix up to 11%, with SFRC mixes containing pozzolans exhibiting significant enhancement in compressive strength, modulus of rupture, and modulus of elasticity compared to non-pozzolanic SFRC, and the hybrid combination of crimped steel 1.5% and straight steel 0.5% considered best in terms of mechanical properties. [27] These synergistic effects suggest opportunities for optimized multi-fiber systems providing balanced property profiles.

5. Research Gaps and Limitations

Despite substantial research, critical knowledge gaps persist:

1. **Scale Effects:** Most research examines small specimens; limited full-scale validation in actual structures constrains confidence in design predictions.
2. **Long-Term Performance:** Research typically measures properties at 28 days; long-term durability under sustained loading and environmental exposure requires extended studies.
3. **Fiber Orientation Prediction:** While orientation factors have been proposed, predictive capability for fiber distribution based on casting parameters remains limited, hampering design accuracy.
4. **Interaction with High-Performance Matrices:** Limited research on SFRC behavior

when combined with ultra-high-performance concrete, geopolymeric binders, or self-healing systems.

5. **Quality Control Standards:** Construction practices affecting fiber distribution, consolidation, and final properties lack standardized quality control protocols.

6. **Economic Analysis:** Comprehensive life-cycle cost analyses comparing SFRC against conventional reinforcement across diverse applications remain insufficient.

7. **Standardization Frameworks:** Unified international design codes and testing standards have not achieved full acceptance or implementation.

6. Conclusion and Recommendations

Conclusions

This comprehensive review synthesizes evidence from peer-reviewed literature establishing steel fiber reinforced concrete as a transformative material for modern structural engineering. The research conclusively demonstrates that steel fiber addition produces systematic enhancements in concrete structural performance, particularly through improvements in tensile strength, flexural capacity, ductility, and crack control, while maintaining practical workability and cost-effectiveness.

Through the use of SFRC, the entire, or a large portion of, conventional rebar reinforcement can be replaced, in order to improve the load-bearing behavior but also the serviceability and durability characteristics of engineering structures. [1] The fundamental mechanism—fiber bridging across cracks—reliably produces improvements in post-cracking behavior, enabling transitions from brittle to ductile failure modes with substantial increases in energy absorption and resilience.

Optimal SFRC design employs hooked-end fibers at volume fractions of 0.75–1.5%, yielding compressive strength maintenance with substantial tensile (30–70%) and flexural (30–70%) improvements. The orientation of fibers during placement critically affects performance, with horizontal alignment optimizing flexural response. The arrangement of horizontal fibers within the composite structure led to an

enhancement in load-bearing capacity by up to 66% in comparison with the reference mixture. [7]

Durability benefits are substantial and multifaceted. Steel fibers enhance resistance to freeze-thaw cycles, chloride penetration, carbonation, and high-temperature exposure through crack control mechanisms. Steel fibers enhance the durability and safety of concrete by reducing the risk of cracking at high temperatures and inhibiting crack extension, maintaining substantial residual mechanical properties after thermal exposure. [21]

Recommendations for Engineering Practice

1. **Design Framework Development:** Engineers should adopt emerging SFRC-specific design methodologies incorporating orientation factors and post-cracking constitutive relationships. Existing conventional code provisions systematically overestimate shear capacity in SFRC members, necessitating updated design approaches.

2. **Specification Standards:** Specify hooked-end fibers (aspect ratio 60–80) at volume fractions of 1.0–1.5% as baseline for applications seeking both strength and ductility improvements. For crack control prioritization, 0.5–0.75% suffices.

3. **Quality Control Implementation:** Establish construction protocols ensuring proper fiber dispersion through controlled mixing sequences, appropriate vibration intensity and duration, and post-placement verification through sampling and mechanical property testing.

4. **Hybrid System Optimization:** Combine steel fibers with supplementary cementitious materials (silica fume, fly ash, slag) and consider secondary synthetic fibers for applications requiring specific property combinations (e.g., fire resistance plus structural capacity).

Recommendations for Future Research

1. **Full-Scale Structural Validation:** Conduct full-scale testing of SFRC elements under realistic loading conditions and environmental exposure to validate laboratory-derived design methodologies and establish confidence intervals for design predictions.

2. **Long-Term Performance Studies:** Establish multi-year monitoring programs tracking SFRC

durability under diverse environmental conditions (freeze-thaw, salt spray, elevated temperature cycling) with 5–10 year time horizons.

3. Fiber Orientation Control: Develop placement and consolidation techniques enabling controlled fiber orientation, potentially through electromagnetic direction methods or flowable admixtures, with associated quality control protocols.

4. International Standardization: Advance consensus on unified SFRC testing methodologies, material specifications, and design codes through international collaborative efforts (fib, RILEM, ACI, EN committees).

5. Sustainability Integration: Expand research on SFRC incorporating recycled fibers, recycled aggregates, and alternative binders to establish environmental impact profiles and life-cycle cost advantages.

6. Advanced Applications: Investigate SFRC in emerging applications including 3D-printed concrete, modular construction systems, blast-resistant structures, and marine/offshore environments.

Final Statement

Steel fiber reinforced concrete represents a mature, field-proven material technology delivering documented benefits in structural performance, durability, and cost-effectiveness. Transition toward broader engineering adoption requires advancing standardization frameworks, developing uniform design methodologies, and establishing robust quality control practices. The evidence presented in this review provides a comprehensive foundation supporting confident engineering application of SFRC across diverse structural typologies while identifying critical research priorities for continued innovation and optimization.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Spyridis and N. Mellios, "Tensile performance of headed anchors in steel fiber reinforced and conventional concrete in uncracked and cracked state," *Materials*, 2022, doi: 10.3390/ma15051886.
- [2] S. M. Harlea, A. P. Bhagat, N. Zanjad, R. Wankhade, and R. Ingole, "MECHANICAL PERFORMANCE OF FIBER-REINFORCED SELF-COMPACTING CONCRETE: COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS AND STRUCTURAL IMPLICATIONS," *Journal of Management and Engineering Sciences*, 2024, doi: 10.61552/jmes.2024.04.001.
- [3] S. Lolla, R. Oinam, A. Furtado, and H. Varum, "Tensile strength and flexural behavior of steel fiber-reinforced concrete beams," *Structural Concrete*, 2024, doi: 10.1002/suco.202400074.
- [4] R. V. Bendre, O. S. Karange, K. G. Dandale, M. S. Khedkar, P. D. Konde, and O. A. Pise, "Performance evaluation of steel fiber reinforced concrete: A case study based perspective," *2026 3rd International Conference on Emerging Trends in Engineering and Medical Sciences (ICETEMS)*, 2026, doi: 10.1109/ICETEMS66917.2026.11469270.
- [5] G. Meng, H. Li, G. Liu, H. Yu, Y. Zhang, and C. Huang, "Steel fiber reinforced concrete segments for shield tunnels: A comprehensive review of mechanical performance, design methods and future directions," *Buildings*, 2025, doi: 10.3390/buildings15234354.
- [6] C. George and S. S. Selvan, "Integrated analysis of light gauge steel beam sections enhanced by steel fiber reinforced concrete: A comprehensive study on structural and thermal performance," *Matéria*, 2024, doi: 10.1590/1517-7076-rmat-2023-0329.
- [7] L. Dayaa, J. J. Assaad, and J. Khatib, "Effect of volume fraction and orientation of steel fiber on the structural performance of normal strength reinforced concrete beams," *Steps For Civil, Constructions and Environmental Engineering*, 2024, doi: 10.61706/sccee12011135.

- [8] E. Q. Mae, F. J. Bien, B. Katherine, O. R. Joy, G. R-Jay, and F. Nioro, "The performance of steel fiber reinforced concrete as structural elements of a seismic resistant three-story low-cost housing using SAP 2000," *E3S Web of Conferences*, 2024, doi: 10.1051/e3sconf/202448803018.
- [9] H. Zhu, C. Li, D. Gao, L. Yang, and S. Cheng, "Study on mechanical properties and strength relation between cube and cylinder specimens of steel fiber reinforced concrete," *Advances in Mechanical Engineering*, 2019, doi: 10.1177/1687814019842423.
- [10] Z. Wang *et al.*, "The effects of steel fiber types and volume fraction on the physical and mechanical properties of concrete," *Coatings*, 2023, doi: 10.3390/coatings13060978.
- [11] H. Liu, N. Shi, Z. Yu, and Y. Zhu, "Research on working and mechanical properties of self-compacting steel-fiber-reinforced high-strength concrete," *Buildings*, 2025, doi: 10.3390/buildings15162875.
- [12] Y. Ye, J. Liu, Z. Zhang, Z. Wang, and Q. Peng, "Experimental study of high-strength steel fiber lightweight aggregate concrete on mechanical properties and toughness index," *Advances in Materials Science and Engineering*, 2020, doi: 10.1155/2020/5915034.
- [13] V. Soloviev and E. Matiushin, "The effects of corrugated steel fiber on the properties of ultra-high performance concrete of different strength levels," *Buildings*, 2023, doi: 10.3390/buildings13102591.
- [14] J. Carrillo, J. D. Vargas, and S. Alcocer, "Model for estimating the flexural performance of concrete reinforced with hooked end steel fibers using three-point bending tests," *Structural Concrete*, 2021, doi: 10.1002/suco.202000432.
- [15] H. Zhang, Z. Tang, J. Li, Z. Hu, and Q. Feng, "Experimental investigation on toughness of SFRC and bond behavior with reinforcing bars," *Buildings*, 2025, doi: 10.3390/buildings15020274.
- [16] H. M. Duham and M. Mashrei, "The effect of using steel fibers reinforced concrete layer in compression zone on the flexural behavior of over-reinforced concrete beams," *Structural Concrete*, 2023, doi: 10.1002/suco.202200675.
- [17] S. Aidarov, A. Nogales, N. Tošić, and A. Fuente, "Influence of fiber orientation on flexural-based design of steel fiber-reinforced concrete slabs: Experimental and numerical program," 2024, doi: 10.1002/suco.202300754.
- [18] F. Medeghini, G. Tiberti, J. Guhathakurta, S. Simon, G. Plizzari, and P. Mark, "Fiber orientation and orientation factors in steel fiber-reinforced concrete beams with hybrid fibers: A critical review," *Structural Concrete*, 2024, doi: 10.1002/suco.202400461.
- [19] N. Ghosni, H. Valipour, and S. J. Foster, "Performance of reinforced-steel fiber reinforced concrete beams adjacent to column connections under reverse cyclic loading," *Structural Concrete*, 2025, doi: 10.1002/suco.70400.
- [20] M. Tawfik, A. El-said, A. Deifalla, and A. H. Awad, "Mechanical properties of hybrid steel-polypropylene fiber reinforced high strength concrete exposed to various temperatures," *Fibers*, 2022, doi: 10.3390/fib10060053.
- [21] J. Liang, K. Liu, C. Wang, X. Wang, and J. Liu, "Mechanical properties of steel fiber-reinforced rubber concrete after elevated temperature," *Scientific Reports*, 2025, doi: 10.1038/s41598-024-80458-3.
- [22] S. Faustmann, S. Weber, C. Kalytta, S. Degen, C. Neunzig, and O. Fischer, "Steel fiber reinforced concrete with different recycled aggregates—in-depth experimental investigation of fresh and hardened properties," *Structural Concrete*, 2025, doi: 10.1002/suco.70177.

- [23] S.-J. Jang *et al.*, “Effect of contents, tensile strengths and aspect ratios of hooked-end steel fibers (SFs) on compressive and flexural performance of normal strength concrete,” *International Journal of Concrete Structures and Materials*, 2023, doi: 10.1186/s40069-023-00611-6.
- [24] S. Khan, L. Qing, I. Ahmad, R. Mu, and M. Bi, “Investigation on fracture behavior of cementitious composites reinforced with aligned hooked-end steel fibers,” *Materials*, 2022, doi: 10.3390/ma15020542.
- [25] L. Ren and Z. Bi, “Research progress of basic mechanics property and durability of fiber reinforced concrete,” *Journal of Engineering Mechanics and Machinery*, 2024, doi: 10.23977/jemm.2024.090109.
- [26] Z. Ge and B. Cai, “Study on mechanical properties and constitutive relationship of steel fiber-reinforced coal gangue concrete after high temperature,” *Buildings*, 2024, doi: 10.3390/buildings14061791.
- [27] S. Boomibalan, H. F. Isleem, P. Swaminathan, D. Rameshkumar, and A. Kadarkarai, “Experimental investigations on mechanical performance, synergy assessment, and microstructure of pozzolanic and non-pozzolanic hybrid steel fiber reinforced concrete,” *Structural Concrete*, 2024, doi: 10.1002/suco.202400693.

