

ADR IN FAMILY AND COMMUNITY DISPUTES: A SOCIO-LEGAL PERSPECTIVE

Dr. Sanaullah Aman^{*1}, Waheeba Zeeshan²^{*1}CEO Saarosh ADR Center, Islamabad, CEO of PhD Doctors Firm for Capacity Building and Trainings²Associate at Saarosh ADR Center, Islamabad, Former Research Associate at Islamic Research Institute, Islamabad^{*1}sanaullah.aman@yahoo.com, ²waheebaz97@gmail.comDOI: <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21640524>

Keywords

Article History

Received: 31 January 2026

Accepted: 16 March 2026

Published: 30 March 2026

Copyright @Author

Corresponding Author: *

Dr. Sanaullah Aman

Thesis Statement

While ADR is an effective and legally sound approach to disputes in family and community, its potential to prove successful in Pakistan requires comprehensive legal reforms, professional standards for mediation, institutional support, public awareness and ensuring fairness and equality for weak and vulnerable members of society.

Abstract

Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) is proving to be a major tool for the settlement of disputes without the involvement of the courts. In Pakistan, where litigation is expensive, the courts face delays and the process is very tedious, ADR offers an easily available, efficient and relationship-oriented justice system. Family and community conflicts are often well suited for ADR because these relationships are typically ongoing and relationships can be worsened by a court proceeding. Mediation, conciliation, arbitration and negotiation are used to make decisions together and keep families together and cohesive. Socio-legally, ADR is more of a social institution whose function is mediated by cultural norms, religious values, economic realities and community structures other than just a legal mechanism. In Pakistan, balancing the traditional nature of dispute resolution as per custom and culture with constitutional protection and statutory provisions, and the principles of fairness are vital to the success of ADR. Islam has a strong tradition of reconciliation, consultation and peaceful dispute resolution, which aligns with the values of ADR, as well as with the many legal reforms of the present day. The socio-legal perspective of the issues raised in this paper is to consider the role of ADR in family and community disputes, through the lenses of the existing legal framework, Islamic principles, international practices and obstacles in the way of its implementation in Pakistan. It asserts that, although the potential benefits of ADR for access to justice are great, ADR must be supported by trained mediators, institutional support, judicial cooperation, public awareness and protection of vulnerable parties, especially women and children. The paper argues that the improvement of the ADR institutions can help foster a more available, effective and fair justice system, sustain social harmony and alleviate court pressure.

Introduction

Dispute resolution is one of the main activities of all legal systems. The courts are considered to be the main institutions for the administration of justice in formal adjudication, traditionally. But, as litigation becomes more commonplace, delays become more commonplace, costs escalate and procedures become more complex, governments and scholars around the world have sought to find a way to solve an issue in a more efficient manner. As such, Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) has emerged as a more and more prominent tool to complement traditional lawsuit.

ADR consists of a variety of processes such as negotiation, mediation, conciliation, arbitration and facilitated settlement. ADR is an alternative to litigation, in which cooperation, voluntary participation, and confidentiality are all emphasized, along with mutually agreeable solutions. These are the reasons why ADR is especially suitable for ongoing relationships, like the conflict between family members and between neighbors.

Family disputes are very personal and involve issues such as divorce, maintenance, child custody, inheritance, domestic conflict and marital property. These conflicts go beyond legal rights and obligations and impact on the emotional wellbeing, children's growth and development and the future of the family relationship. In the same way, conflicts among the community over land boundaries, in the community, over water distribution, tenancy issues, and community governance issues may need solutions that ensure social harmony without creating winners and losers.

Access to Justice is a critical issue in Pakistan. The courts continue to be a burden, with long delays in litigations and high cost of litigation. There are serious access issues for many litigants, particularly women, disadvantaged people and rural people to access timely legal remedies. Thus, the use of ADR has become more and more prevalent as a viable method of dispute resolution while avoiding the strain on the formal legal process and maintaining social relationships. The uploaded ADR text also emphasizes the need of an easy, efficient, socially-

sensitive dispute resolution process, especially in the context of family.

It is impossible to appreciate law only in terms of laws and decisions from the courts from a socio-legal point of view. Legal institutions are embedded in a larger social, cultural, religious and economic context. This means that ADR should be considered not just a process alternative but a process that is shaped by societal norms, power dynamics, gender, community norms and culture. Reconciliation and amicable settlement have always been a practice that is encouraged in the Islamic jurisprudence. The Qur'an suggests that when the marriage becomes unworkable, appointment of arbitrators from both the families before divorce should be considered. Likewise, the Sunnah of the Prophet Muhammad (saws) stresses upon justice, compassion, forgiveness and peaceful resolution of disputes. These are important religious principles laying the foundation for successful ADR in Muslim societies.

Literature Review

By placing greater emphasis on the need for ADR as integral to modern justice systems, academic scholarship has become an essential source of knowledge and understanding on the subject. ADR is not a complete substitute for litigation, but is an option to help supplement traditional court systems in cases where the conflict is less severe.

Mediation offers a way for the parties to remain in control of decision making and to foster a collaborative process that establishes a solution that meets underlying interests, not simply rights, says Christopher Moore. Fisher and Ury also show how principled negotiation can lead to sustainable agreements as it is based on the interests rather than on rigid legal positions. Carrie Menkel-Meadow notes that all of the time spent on litigation can make interpersonal conflict worse, as litigation is often an adversarial process, focusing on who's right and who's wrong, not on building a relationship. Mediation, on the other hand, facilitates communication, empathy, and cooperation going forward.

The socio-legal school is concerned with the fact that dispute resolution systems have to be considered within its social context. Roscoe Pound says that law serves an instrument of social engineering that helps to resolve conflicting interests while preserving social order. This is the approach of ADR where it aims to find solutions besides just to solve the legal conflicts. Marc Galanter's groundbreaking work in dispute processing illustrates that relationships and other informal dispute resolution methods can solve disputes before they go to court, and that these methods generally cost less and preserve relationships. This observation is especially true in family and community conflicts where the parties to the conflict interact after the case has ended.

While the advantages of ADR are well known, like any conflict resolution method, scholars warn that informal dispute resolution is not to be romanticized. Mediators are at the mercy of unequal power dynamics in situations where there are significant power differences between the parties. There are concerns among feminist legal commentators that mediation may not benefit women in cases where there is a significant imbalance of power. Hence, contemporary ADR needs to be supported by the following procedural safeguards: voluntariness, neutrality of mediators, legal understanding, confidentiality and oversight by the court. In general, modern research indicates that ADR is a compromise between the social harmony that it brings and the legal efficiency it provides. However, there is a need for careful incorporation of legal principles, institutional capacity, cultural sensitivity, and human rights protections to ensure the successful implementation.

Research Methodology

The method used in writing this research is qualitative research with doctrinal and socio-legal approach. The doctrinal component focuses on principles of constitution, statutory law, judicial rules, Islamic law and ADR rules for family and community dispute resolution. The primary legislation that serves as a basis for mediation and arbitration is the Alternative Dispute Resolution

Act, 2017, the Family Courts Act, 1964, constitutional aspects of dispute resolution and the principle of mediation and arbitration. The socio-legal component examines the role of ADR in the wider social context in Pakistan. Particularly noted are community structures, public perceptions, gender relations, economic conditions, religious values and cultural traditions that impact the efficacy of ADR. The study also uses comparative legal analysis by looking at the techniques and cases in other countries of practice like the United Kingdom, Singapore and Australia and deriving best practices that can be applied to Pakistan. Secondary sources consist of scholarly books, peer-reviewed journal articles, international reports, judicial commentary.

Analysis and Discussion

Alternative Dispute Resolution in Family Disputes:

Family conflict is different from commercial or contractual conflicts because it does not involve the cessation of emotional, social and legal relationships. In litigation, conflict is often worsened, and ADR helps to maintain relationships through communication, compromise, and reconciliation. One of the issues that are frequently raised in ADR cases involves disputes over divorce, custody, maintenance, inheritance, dowry, and Haq Mehr—as well as honor and the well-being of the children and the emotional state of the parties. Therefore, in addition to legal rights, the focus of dispute resolution should be not just on the legal, but also on the harmony of the family in the best interests of the family.

Mediation is a good option for family conflicts as it provides a more confidential and less confrontational setting for talking through issues. Mediation is a process in which the parties are given the chance to reach a mutually acceptable solution rather than leaving this to a judge. It is a collaborative process that encourages voluntary agreements with settlements with a minimal amount of emotional stress. Child custody cases

are a great example of the benefits of ADR. Often, court proceedings put parents on a collision course with one another, leaving children to endure a long period of stress. By contrast, mediation is aimed at what is in the best interests of the child and promotes a cooperative parenting agreement. Parents are more likely to abide by agreements which they have negotiated rather than court imposed.

Likewise, in the event of a dispute over maintenance, it might be settled faster by mediation than by going to court. Parties can settle for realistic financial arrangements which take into account their individual situations, rather than wasting time and money in expensive court proceedings. This type of settlements not only save legal costs but also helps to ensure the timely financial provision for the dependents. Another aspect of ADR that helps to maintain family relationships is inheritance disputes. Inheritance disputes often lead to forever feuds between brothers and sisters and extended family members. Mediation allows for negotiations to take place in a way that respects the family unit and promotes mutual respect, while also negotiating equitable distribution arrangements.

But family mediation needs to have provisions in place that will ensure that vulnerable parties are protected. Where there is domestic violence, coercion or particularly, a gross imbalance in power, women should not be forced to attend mediation proceedings where their law or safety may be put at risk.

Avoiding dispute in the community:

Conflict often occurs within the community and it can stem from interactions with neighbors, family, local groups, and community members during everyday interactions. They include, for instance, boundary disputes, water supply, farming land, rents, footpaths, noise and petty civil disputes. As opposed to court litigation, ADR provides an opportunity for community members to be actively engaged in dispute resolution, and maintain long-term social relationships. Judgments involving the parties to the dispute may be adversarial, potentially exacerbating the

conflict, as they are likely to be living together in the same community.

Mediation in the community fosters communication, understanding and consensus. Informal processes of mediation, rather than formal judicial proceedings, are more effective in communicating when the mediator is a local person who knows the customs, languages and cultural values of the community. However, community mediation should be conducted in a constitutional and legal framework that promotes fairness and equality.

A successful community ADR system also will alleviate local court strain. Resolving small conflicts through mediation allows judges to focus on cases that are more complicated and need a formal hearing.

Islamic Framework of ADR:

Islam is a religion of peace, reconciliation, consultation and justice in solving conflicts. In the Qur'an, it is explicitly recommended to appoint arbiters from both families if there is an argument between the husband and wife (Surah An-Nisa 4:35). This is an example of Qur'anic guidance that is one of the earliest institutional bases of mediation in family conflicts. The Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him) always advised settling disputes peaceably among the people, and sought to do so whenever justice was possible. In Islamic law, therefore, the resolution of problems is a chance to restore harmony in society and not only to establish legal obligations.

An amicable settlement (sulh), arbitration (tahkim), and reconciliation (musalahat) have been part of Islamic jurisprudence since time immemorial. These principles have many similarities with current ADR processes and place an emphasis on fairness, the voluntary nature of the agreement, and respect for individual rights.

Therefore, ADR is not a legal system from another country, but is a mechanism that is entrenched within Islamic law and can fit into Pakistan's constitutional and religious system.

The ADR in Pakistan is regulated by the following legal framework:

The government of Pakistan has come to realize that ADR is a crucial element of judicial reform. Changes in the law illustrate an increasing institutional interest in mediation and alternative dispute resolution. The Alternative Dispute Resolution Act, 2017 is a legislation that is statutorily recognizing mediation, conciliation, neutral evaluation, arbitration and other ADR mechanisms. The Act promotes the use of ADR by encouraging the referral of appropriate cases to ADR and also ensures judicial oversight when necessary.

Of course, in family cases the Family Courts Act, 1964 stipulates that courts should encourage reconciliation before adjudication. This is a statutory obligation because of an acknowledgment that it is better to keep families intact than to drag things out in court. However, the text notes attached to the uploaded ADR suggest that such provisions are not having much effect in practice as judges have too much work to do to take part in meaningful reconciliation.

The court annexed mediation centers that have been set up in cities like Islamabad, Lahore and Karachi are significant institutional progress. These centers offer trained mediators and procedures that can negotiate a dispute without having to take it to trial.

However, there remain implementation issues, such as lack of institutional capacity, lack of public awareness, inconsistent judicial referral and lack of proper training for mediators in Pakistan.

Comparative Perspective

International experience has shown that effective systems for ADR need to have a comprehensive legal system, institutional support and public confidence.

Mediation is a part of the civil and family justice in the United Kingdom. Courts actively and vigorously encourage negotiation resolution prior to trial because negotiated settlements often yield better long-term results.

Singapore has built up one of the most advanced systems for ADR in the world. Singapore has evolved into an international hub of dispute resolution thanks to the government's efforts,

mediation institutions with focused expertise, and well-designed legislation.

Mediation is also included in family law in Australia. Family Relationship Centers help separating couples settle parenting conflicts without going to court, helping to mitigate the number of court cases and putting children first. Pakistan has taken positive steps in this regard through the enactment of legislation and court attached mediation centers compared to these jurisdictions. But greater public knowledge, better institutional structures, better mediator training and wider availability of mediators across the nation is key to replicating these results.

Socio-Legal Analysis

The socio-legal approach acknowledges that the law operates in a social context as opposed to being isolated. In addition to legislation, cultural values, religious beliefs, gender relations, economic conditions, and public trust are all important factors in the effectiveness of ADR.

Many communities in Pakistan are still using informal tool of settling disputes, which includes jirgas and panchayats. These local platforms for dispute resolution are accessible to everyone but have often been criticized because of lack of consistency in procedure and response, lack of accountability and discriminatory outcomes in relation to women and marginalized groups.

However, modern ADR is very different, in that it takes place within a statutory framework of principles that place a great emphasis on the voluntary nature of the process, neutrality, confidentiality, procedural fairness, and judicial oversight. The institutional ADR is thus not only culturally accessible, but also constitutionally protected. Gender is a particular socio-legal factor to take into account. Economic dependence, social pressure, and unequal bargaining power are common issues during family conflicts, particularly faced by women. If not properly safeguarded, mediation can unwittingly perpetuate inequalities. Thus, professional mediators, lawyers (when applicable), confidentiality and voluntary participation will continue to be a key element of fair ADR.

Economic factors are also key determinants of preferences for dispute resolution. The poor litigants often are unable to afford the costs of extended litigation (including repetition in court and legal costs). With the alternative of ADR, access to justice would improve for economically disadvantaged communities, and this would be a cost-effective alternative. Last but not least, public confidence is key. Citizens are more likely to engage in ADR when mediators are seen as impartial, settlement agreements are made enforceable and institutions have professional standards. To build this confidence, it is essential to constantly educate and inform the public, provide judicial support, and regulate institutions effectively.

Recommendations

The analysis shows that there is great potential to change the administration of justice system in Pakistan especially in the matter of family and community disputes by implementing ADR. This potential however, can only be realized within a coordinated legal, institutional and social reform. The following suggestions are made:

Strengthening the legal framework:

The Government of Pakistan should be more rigid with the implementation of the Alternative Dispute Resolution Act, 2017 by establishing clear procedures for mediation, conciliation and arbitration. Reconciliation and mediation should always be used by Family Courts prior to commencing formal proceedings, especially in cases of maintenance disputes, child custody, inheritance and marriage disputes.

New Programs in the Mediation Field:

There is a need to set up court attached Mediation Centers in all the districts of Pakistan. These centers should have professionally trained mediators who are able to resolve sensitive family matters in a neutral, confidential and impartial manner. An increase in judicial referrals to ADR would greatly ease the judicial workload.

Professional Training & Accreditation:

There must be a national accreditation system for mediators in Pakistan. Universities, Bar Councils, judicial academies and ADR institutions should work together to offer special certification courses on mediation ethics, communication skills, negotiation skills, family psychology and Islamic jurisprudence pertaining to reconciliation.

Public Awareness Campaigns:

There is limited public awareness and trust in ADR. The government departments, educational institutions, civil society organizations, electronic media and legal aid providers should carry out awareness building campaigns across the country on the benefits of mediation and arbitration. Educational programs should make it clear that ADR is not just an informal process, but a legally binding process that can also achieve mutually agreeable settlements without harming the relationship.

Gender-Sensitive ADR:

Frequently, in family conflicts women have unequal bargaining power. ADR institutions should, therefore, make sure that: Female mediators are appointed in suitable cases; every mediation is conducted in private; no one is forced to participate in mediation; victims of domestic violence are afforded special protection; legal advice is made available if needed; and mediation is always voluntary, in particular when allegations of abuse or intimidation exist.

Community ADR Centers:

The local governments should set up community mediation centers at Union Council level. These centers should operate in parallel with formal courts, and continue to be protected by the Constitution and regulated by statutes. These centers would make justice more readily available in remote, less affluent rural areas where litigation may not be available.

Incorporation of Islamic principles:

Islamic values such as Sulh, Tahkim, reconciliation and consultation should be

continued to be implemented in the modern ADR utilities. These concepts would have religious and cultural legitimacy and thus build public confidence if they were integrated.

Use of ADR in Legal Education:

Compulsory ADR courses (negotiation, mediation, arbitration, conciliation and conflict management) at law school. There should be more practical exercises, such as simulated mediation sessions, as part of legal training. If the future of the legal profession is to become problem solvers, and not litigators, this will help to foster long term institutional acceptance of ADR.

Digital ADR:

The government of Pakistan needs to invest in the area of Online Dispute Resolution (ODR), especially in community dispute and small family disputes. Digital mediation platforms would make it easier for people who live in rural areas, and would save on costs and delays.

Continuous Monitoring:

The government should put in monitoring processes that measure the effectiveness of ADR programs by tracking indicators such as settlement rates, participant satisfaction, the rate of enforcement, court docket reduction, and safeguarding vulnerable parties.

Conclusion

ADR has become an integral part of the justice system today due to the many advantages it offers over litigation, including efficiency, affordability, confidentiality and a focus on relationships. This is especially true in family and community disputes, as having a long-term relationship can be more important than winning legal rights through opposition proceedings.

The findings of this study prove that ADR is not only an alternative front of court process but a socio-legal institution and can be understood in the wider socio-cultural, religious, economic and political frameworks. Family conflicts have emotional, psychological and interpersonal components, which are often not adequately

captured by litigation. Likewise, for community disputes, solutions must be capable of sustaining social harmony, not generating long-term divisions between neighbors and community members.

There is remarkable progress in the Pakistani legal system through legislative changes, judicial initiatives, and court-annexed mediation centers. However, implementation is hindered by low awareness, weak institutional capacity, lack of trained mediators, poor judicial referral rates and poor levels of gender parity. In Islamic jurisprudence, there are high normative grounds for the use of ADR, e.g., the teachings of the Qur'an which encourage the process of reconciliation and arbitration. Thus, ADR is not a foreign legal system but one which is rooted in Islamic law and is completely within the ambit of the State of Pakistan's constitution.

Experience in other countries shows that effective ADR systems rely on elaborate laws and procedures, judicial participation, institutional assistance, and public trust. Pakistan can greatly gain from international best practice along with the cultural and religious traditions. In conclusion, ADR is a chance to change access to justice by decreasing judicial delays, litigation expenses, protecting vulnerable people, enhancing family relationships, and encouraging peaceful co-existence of communities. With the right legal reforms, institutional development and public education, ADR can be an integral part of Pakistan's justice system and play a major role in its overall stability and sustainable development.

REFERENCES

- Fisher, R., Ury, W., & Patton, B. (2011). *Getting to Yes: Negotiating Agreement Without Giving In* (3rd ed.). Penguin Books.
- Galanter, M. (1981). *Justice in Many Rooms: Courts, Private Ordering and Indigenous Law*. Journal of Legal Pluralism.
- Menkel-Meadow, C. (2016). *Mediation: Theory, Policy and Practice*. Routledge.
- Moore, C. W. (2014). *The Mediation Process* (4th ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- Pound, R. (1942). *Social Control Through Law*. Yale University Press.

Sander, F. E. A., & Goldberg, S. (2021). *Dispute Resolution: Negotiation, Mediation and Other Processes*. Aspen Publishers.

Alternative Dispute Resolution Act, 2017 (Pakistan).

Family Courts Act, 1964 (Pakistan).

Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973.

Code of Civil Procedure, 1908.

UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Conciliation (2002).

United Nations Convention on International Settlement Agreements Resulting from Mediation (Singapore Convention on Mediation), 2018.

Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards (New York Convention), 1958.

World Bank. (2020). *Alternative Dispute Resolution and Access to Justice*.

