Policy Research Journal
ISSN (E): 3006-7030 ISSN (P) : 3006-7022 Volume 4, Issue 3, 2026

THE SOCIAL SIGNIFICANCE OF HUMAN VALUES: FOUNDATIONS, CRISIS, AND RESTORATION

Babar Zaman[*1], Muhammad Asad Qureshi[2], Misbah Hameed[3]

*[*1]MS English, Lecturer in English, Dr. Yahya Institute of Medical Sciences, Layyah*
[2]M. Phil English, Visiting Lecturer in English, University of Layyah, Layyah
[3]M. Phil English, Visiting Lecturer in English, University of Layyah

[*1]babarraza_raza@yahoo.com, [2]asadasir2@gmail.com, [3]misbahhameed5555@gmail.com

DOI: http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21988813

Keywords
Human Values, Ethics, Morality, Social Cohesion, Moral Crisis

Article History
Received: 29 January 2026
Accepted: 14 March 2026
Published: 28 March 2026

Copyright @Author
Corresponding Author: *
Babar Zaman

Abstract

"Learn manners, for these will conceal the shortcomings of your lineage." – attributed to Hazrat Ali (a.s.)

Values may be defined as the principles that a society or community is obliged to uphold, to practise consistently, and to apply without discrimination among its members. Values hold, for a society, something like the significance that the soul holds for a living body: just as no organism can meaningfully exist without an animating soul, no society can achieve genuine vibrancy or endurance without a shared and impartially applied system of values. History records numerous instances in which societies, ancient and modern alike, declined once their commitment to shared values weakened or was applied selectively rather than universally; a society that upholds values only partially, or only for some of its members, is a society already in decline. Values might therefore be regarded as a form of social capital, as indispensable to a community's flourishing as physical or financial capital is to an economy. The contemporary world faces a pronounced and prolonged ethical crisis, whose principal cause lies in the manipulation and reinterpretation of values to serve elite interests, at the expense of populations already marginalised. Human conduct leaves a substantial cumulative imprint on the collective fabric of a society's values; inflation, for instance, has become a defining problem of the contemporary world, deepening deprivation among the poor while the wealthy continue to prosper largely undisturbed. It is precisely here – in the gap between privilege and deprivation – that values assume their most urgent social function: a society in which the privileged remain genuinely committed to shared human values, and act to assist the deprived, is able to preserve its coherence and its self-respect. This paper examines the ways in which selective or partial commitment to values aggravates social crisis, explores the mechanisms through which a society might approximate something like a genuinely humane order, and argues that a sustainable, cohesive society ultimately depends on the equal and impartial exercise of human values.

1. Introduction

Human beings long, above almost anything else, for a life free from constant fear regarding their own security and future — a natural anxiety about what any coming moment might bring. It is equally natural for people to expect a great deal from others while offering comparatively little in return, though this tendency varies considerably

from person to person. Some individuals continue to give of themselves generously even when treated unjustly by those who receive their generosity; others, by contrast, steadily escalate their expectations of others without a corresponding sense of obligation. When a society divides, in effect, into two broad tendencies — one oriented toward giving, upholding, and safeguarding values, and the other oriented chiefly toward extracting and justifying ever-increasing demands — the resulting imbalance damages the social fabric. Anxiety, insecurity, and various forms of defensive or self-protective psychology take root and, over time, can come to define the prevailing character of the society itself, producing a cycle in which self-protection crowds out the shared commitments on which social trust depends.

This paper approaches the problem of value crisis not as a matter of nostalgia for some imagined past golden age of morality, but as a live, practical question about how contemporary societies might reorganise the mechanisms — family, school, law, media — through which values are formed and sustained. The remainder of the paper proceeds in six stages: first, a working definition of human values grounded in both religious and philosophical sources; second, an account of how values acquire their practical shape and social function; third, an examination of the principal institutions through which values are transmitted across generations; fourth, illustrative case studies of value transmission in both family and public life; fifth, a detailed diagnosis of the contemporary crisis of values, addressing technology, economic inequality, and the rule of law in turn; and finally, a set of concrete, actionable proposals for restoring a more genuinely shared and equally applied system of values.

2. Defining Human Values

Values, in the sense used throughout this paper, encompass morality, humanity, patience, tolerance, and courage. Since the earliest stages of organised human civilisation — a term that, at root, implies a commitment to treating all members of a community with a shared standard of equal regard, since such equal regard is understood to spread goodness, and goodness is widely held to be a central purpose of human association — societies have made sustained, if uneven, efforts to establish a common ethical and moral standard applicable to all their members. These efforts constitute attempts to refine human culture on the basis of impartially respected values. A central challenge in this refinement is ensuring that intense emotion does not drive an individual into despair severe enough to extinguish their capacity for constructive engagement with others; emotional states will inevitably fluctuate, but a society's underlying ethical commitments need to remain comparatively stable even as individual emotion does not.

Every culture develops values of many different kinds, but unless those values are organised around the single overarching value of humanity — a basic, impartial regard for the dignity of others — a culture risks drifting toward a more general social ignorance and fragmentation. Humanity, in this sense, may reasonably be regarded as the master value beneath all the others: a just society is one demonstrated through an equal, impartial regard for all its members, extended even to those whose opinions, temperament, preferences, and personal aspirations differ substantially from one's own. Mature, stable human values are cultivated through fidelity to truth, endurance in adversity, forbearance toward the flawed and the ill-tempered, a disposition toward peace-making and generosity, and a genuine commitment to collective dignity. Such values have, in principle, been recognised since the earliest periods of organised human society; what has remained persistently difficult, in practice, is drawing a workable line between an individual's private duties and the collective duties owed to the community as a whole. Human values, this paper argues, flourish most fully when they are understood and practised as collective, rather than purely individual, obligations: a society in which one group's welfare is systematically preserved while others' is neglected inflicts a loss

on the whole community that is, in an important sense, irreparable.

2.1 Philosophical Foundations: Virtue, Duty, and the Good Life

The account of values offered here draws primarily on religious and cultural sources, but it also finds support in two of the most influential traditions of Western moral philosophy, and situating the discussion alongside them strengthens rather than dilutes its central claims. Aristotelian virtue ethics holds that a good human life consists in the cultivation of stable dispositions of character — courage, temperance, justice, generosity — practised habitually until they become second nature, and exercised in the right measure between excess and deficiency. This account resonates closely with the paper's emphasis on patience, tolerance, and courage as core values requiring sustained practice rather than occasional performance. Kantian deontology, by contrast, grounds moral obligation not in cultivated character but in a rational duty owed equally to every person, captured in Kant's requirement that one act only according to principles that could be willed as universal law, and that one treat every human being always as an end and never merely as a means. This framework lends philosophical precision to the paper's insistence that values be applied "indiscriminately," since it supplies a reasoned argument for why partial or selective application of a value — extending courtesy or fairness to some but not others — is a moral failure rather than a mere inconsistency. Read together, these two traditions suggest that human values are sustained both by habituated character (the Aristotelian insight) and by a rationally grounded, universal duty of impartial regard (the Kantian insight), and that a society genuinely committed to values must attend to both dimensions simultaneously.

2.2 Values as Social Capital

The metaphor of values as a form of social capital, introduced in the abstract above, is worth developing further, since it clarifies why partial or selective commitment to values is corrosive in a way that goes beyond individual hypocrisy. Physical and financial capital function productively only when they circulate reliably within a system that most participants trust; an economy in which contracts are honoured unpredictably, or only for a privileged few, cannot sustain the kind of investment and cooperation on which prosperity depends. Values operate analogously within the social sphere: a community's capacity for cooperation, mutual aid, and collective action depends on members' confidence that shared standards of conduct — honesty, fairness, reciprocity — will actually be honoured, and honoured consistently, rather than invoked selectively to benefit some members at others' expense. Once that confidence breaks down, even individuals who would otherwise be inclined toward generosity or cooperation rationally begin to hedge, to protect their own interests pre-emptively, and the resulting spiral of mutual suspicion is difficult to reverse without a deliberate, sustained institutional effort. This is, in essence, the mechanism by which the "partial application" of values described in the introduction above translates into the broader social fragmentation discussed later in this paper.

2.3 Values Across Religious and Cultural Traditions

Although this paper draws its central quotations from the Islamic tradition, by way of the sayings attributed to Hazrat Ali, the underlying conviction that shared, impartially applied values are indispensable to social cohesion recurs across virtually every major religious and cultural tradition. The Confucian tradition emphasises ren (benevolence) and li (ritual propriety) as complementary foundations of a well-ordered society; the Judeo-Christian tradition centres its ethical teaching on commandments of honesty, charity, and neighbourly regard; Buddhist ethics locates right conduct within a broader discipline of compassion and non-harm; and a wide range of indigenous and communal traditions across Africa, South Asia, and the Americas articulate related notions of collective obligation, often summarised in concepts such as *ubuntu* — the idea that a person is a person through other persons,

and that individual flourishing is inseparable from the flourishing of the community. This cross-cultural convergence is significant for the paper's argument: it suggests that the case for impartially applied human values need not rest on the authority of any single religious or cultural tradition, but can instead be understood as a recurring human insight, arrived at independently by traditions with otherwise very different metaphysical commitments.

3. The Shape and Function of Values in Society

It is the practical shape that values take — the way they are actually exercised in daily conduct — that determines the character of a society more than any formal statement of principle. The quality of collective life depends directly on the quality of the values a community practises; indeed, one plausible account of the underlying purpose of organised society is precisely to sustain a shared framework of values that secures social equality. Religious and ethical traditions across cultures converge on this point, consistently teaching against robbery, dishonesty, exploitation, and other conduct that damages the shared fabric of value on which social trust depends. Shared values are not confined to explicitly moral or religious contexts; they extend even into ceremonial and symbolic practice. The Olympic tradition of lighting a torch, carried by an athlete chosen for exceptional achievement, to open the Games is itself a widely recognised value — a shared symbol whose legitimacy depends on broad, cross-cultural acceptance rather than any single authority's decree. Values shape culture at every level, from the individual family to the nation: a family that consistently practises shared values can alter its own trajectory and, by extension, that of its wider community, just as a nation that approaches its own cohesion with something like familial solidarity, applying its values consistently to all its members, can become a model of social development for others.

3.1 The Sources of Value Formation

Values are not transmitted automatically; a society requires deliberate mechanisms for inculcating them across generations. The family remains the first and most important of these mechanisms — a mother's early nurture in particular is widely regarded, across many cultural traditions, as foundational to a child's moral formation. The school occupies a comparably important, if secondary, role: teachers are entrusted with instilling in students an understanding of the practical worth of shared values, and this early education carries lasting significance for how an individual comes, in adulthood, to relate to the wider community. A well-run school can function as something of a protected space in which values are cultivated deliberately, even where the surrounding culture is inconsistent or hostile to them.

Beyond family and school, a society's values are also shaped by its psychological climate, its media environment, and the consistency with which its laws are applied. Families in which values are practised consistently, rather than invoked only rhetorically, tend to transmit a durable moral seriousness to succeeding generations, and such families frequently produce individuals who go on to exercise disproportionate positive influence within their wider communities.

3.2 The Role of Media and Public Discourse

Alongside family, school, and law, the media environment within which a society conducts its public discourse exercises considerable influence over the transmission and reinforcement of shared values. Where public discourse consistently models fairness, accountability, and respectful disagreement, audiences absorb these norms as part of their own sense of appropriate conduct; where public discourse instead rewards sensationalism, personal attack, and the selective application of scrutiny to political or social opponents while excusing similar conduct among allies, it models precisely the kind of partial, self-interested value application that this paper identifies as corrosive to social cohesion. The rapid expansion of digital and social media over the past two decades has intensified this dynamic considerably, since algorithmic systems designed to maximise engagement often favour emotionally charged, polarising content over the more measured, deliberative discourse through

which shared values have traditionally been negotiated and reinforced. This is not an argument for abandoning digital media, which also offers unprecedented opportunities for cross-cultural dialogue and for amplifying previously marginalised voices; it is, rather, an argument for treating media literacy and responsible public discourse as themselves proper objects of the kind of deliberate ethical education discussed in Section 3.1.

4. Case Studies: Values Transmitted Across Generations and Beyond

Concrete examples illustrate how values are transmitted both within families and across a wider public sphere. Benazir Bhutto, a prominent Pakistani politician, is widely understood to have drawn substantial inspiration from her father, Zulfikar Ali Bhutto, whose political career ended in his execution; despite — or, as many accounts suggest, partly because of — this tragedy, she came to regard her father's commitment to certain principles as a model for her own public life. Her son, Bilawal Bhutto Zardari, has in turn frequently invoked his mother's legacy following her assassination, illustrating how a family's commitment to a particular set of values can persist and adapt across successive generations even amid severe adversity. Values are not, however, transmitted only within families. Nelson Mandela, the former President of South Africa who led the long struggle against apartheid and racial discrimination, offers a widely cited example of values acquired chiefly through extrinsic inspiration: individuals across the world who had no personal or familial connection to Mandela nevertheless drew on his example of patience, forgiveness, and reconciliation in shaping their own ethical commitments. A further example, illustrating the transmission of values through professional and institutional example rather than family or a single charismatic figure, can be found in humanitarian and public-health workers who, often at considerable personal risk, have upheld standards of impartial care during epidemics and humanitarian crises, demonstrating that professional codes of conduct can themselves function as a durable vehicle for the transmission and reinforcement of shared human values. Whether values are acquired chiefly within the family or through external example, both forms of inspiration remain indispensable to the broader project of sustaining a humane culture.

4.1 Institutional Transmission of Values

Beyond individual families and individual exemplary figures, values are also transmitted and sustained through the ordinary functioning of institutions — professional bodies, religious organisations, civic associations — whose codes of conduct, however imperfectly enforced, articulate and reinforce a shared standard against which members' behaviour can be measured. A medical association's code of ethics, a judiciary's standards of impartiality, or a religious community's teachings on charity and honesty all function, in effect, as institutionalised statements of the values discussed throughout this paper, and their social significance lies partly in the fact that they persist independently of any single individual's commitment, providing a standard to which new members can be socialised even after the original exemplars who shaped the institution's founding culture have passed from the scene. This institutional dimension helps explain why value erosion, once it takes hold within an institution, can prove difficult to reverse: a professional or civic body whose code of conduct is not consistently enforced gradually loses its capacity to socialise new members into genuine, rather than merely nominal, adherence to its stated values, and the resulting culture can persist for a generation or more even after individual leaders committed to reform have been installed.

5. The Contemporary Crisis of Human Values

5.1 Technology, Isolation, and the Erosion of Shared Interaction

Human psychology plays a pivotal role in how information — and the deeper significance that information can carry — is received and processed. Some individuals encounter information of considerable ethical weight and remain largely indifferent to it, while others actively seek out the underlying significance of

what they are told; this difference reflects, in part, differing degrees of attentiveness and moral seriousness rather than differing access to the same facts. Where a community, region, or society has found a workable solution to a problem that has caused widespread suffering, an obligation arises to share that solution and to ensure that underprivileged populations are genuinely informed of it; sharing information relevant to a community's welfare in this way both addresses practical grievances and reinforces the broader social structure within which values can be sustained.

The world has, by most accounts, witnessed a considerable decline in shared morality in recent decades. This decline is not attributable to any single cause, but the retreat of individuals into self-contained, largely unguided personal ideologies, oriented chiefly toward private comfort rather than collective welfare, is widely identified as a significant contributing factor. Such an orientation tends to produce competitive, even predatory, social dynamics — a scramble for advantage that discriminates against and, in extreme cases, actively humiliates those judged less capable of defending their own interests. The degeneration of values in such circumstances follows from a departure from the core moral principles — forgiveness, tolerance, compassion, endurance, generosity, sympathy, and solidarity — that sustain human character across adversity. It should be stressed that values, properly understood, hold significance only when they are not defined or monopolised by any single section of a society; in societies where wealth is heavily concentrated and its redistribution is treated with suspicion or hostility, marginalised populations are frequently deprived of basic necessities, a deprivation that itself damages the intellectual and psychological wellbeing of the deprived and is then, perversely, used by the privileged to portray the deprived as morally or intellectually deficient. This social imbalance is itself a significant driver of value degeneration, and a genuinely balanced human character — one centred on a shared humanism rather than on narrow personal or familial advantage — offers the most promising basis for a more just social order.

Rapid advances in information technology over recent decades have coincided with, though they should not be treated as the sole or even primary cause of, this broader erosion of shared moral seriousness. Technology in itself is ethically neutral; it is the inappropriate or excessive use of technology, particularly among individuals already experiencing emotional strain or isolation, that appears to compound existing tendencies toward moral disengagement. Where people substitute electronic interaction for shared, face-to-face engagement with one another, opportunities for the kind of direct moral encounter through which values are traditionally reinforced and transmitted are correspondingly reduced. Restoring more frequent shared, direct interaction — rather than rejecting technology altogether, which is neither realistic nor necessary — offers one practical avenue for reinforcing collective moral seriousness.

5.2 The Rule of Law as a Foundation for Moral Order

The consistency with which a society's laws are applied constitutes a further, and often underappreciated, determinant of the strength of its moral values. Societies in which the application of law is uneven, unjust, or subject to the influence of wealth and status tend, over time, to experience deeper and more persistent moral crises, since citizens rationally conclude that shared ethical commitments are not, in practice, being honoured by those with the power to enforce them. By contrast, societies in which law is applied justly, equally, and predictably tend to sustain a correspondingly more robust and durable public morality, because citizens have concrete, repeated evidence that shared values are not merely rhetorical.

5.3 A Human Moral Crisis: Consequences

The degeneration of human values produces a pervasive social precariousness. This uncertainty, in turn, generates broader social unrest, with consequences that can range from harm to a single individual or family to disruption affecting

an entire community or nation. Moral degradation of this kind frequently destabilises a society's political and administrative structures, and it typically carries economic costs as well, since trust — a resource that depends heavily on shared, consistently applied values — is itself a precondition for stable economic exchange. It follows that contributing to the avoidance of such moral and social crises, through consistently ethical private and public conduct, is not merely a matter of personal virtue but a meaningful form of social contribution.

It is worth being precise about the direction of causation implied here, since the relationship between moral crisis and its social consequences is not simply linear. Political instability, economic hardship, and moral erosion tend, in practice, to reinforce one another in a self-sustaining cycle: economic hardship increases the pressure on individuals to prioritise narrow self-interest over shared obligation, which in turn accelerates moral erosion, which weakens the institutional trust on which stable governance and economic exchange both depend, which then produces further hardship. Breaking such a cycle rarely succeeds through intervention at a single point; it typically requires simultaneous attention to economic conditions, institutional integrity, and the deliberate cultivation of shared values discussed throughout this paper. This is one reason the practical proposals offered in the following section are presented as a coordinated set rather than as alternatives from which a society might select only one or two.

5.4 Economic Inequality as a Structural Driver of Value Erosion

The relationship between economic inequality and the erosion of shared values deserves closer examination, since it is easy to treat inflation, deprivation, and elite privilege — all mentioned in the abstract above — as separate phenomena rather than as interlocking parts of a single structural dynamic. Sustained inflation, in particular, tends to erode the purchasing power of fixed and modest incomes far more severely than it affects the holdings of the wealthy, who typically have greater access to assets that appreciate with, or outpace, inflation. Over time, this asymmetry widens the gap between a society's privileged and deprived populations, even where formal legal equality is maintained. The resulting resentment is not merely an economic grievance; it corrodes the sense of shared membership in a single moral community on which the impartial application of values depends, since individuals who perceive themselves as structurally disadvantaged reasonably come to doubt that the values publicly professed by their society's institutions are genuinely extended to people in their position. Addressing this dynamic requires more than moral exhortation directed at the wealthy to behave charitably, though such exhortation retains a role; it requires institutional mechanisms — progressive taxation, targeted social protection, and predictable access to essential services such as health and education — capable of narrowing the gap in material security that underlies much of the value erosion this paper has described.

5.5 Gender and the Uneven Application of Values

A further dimension of the "partial application" of values concerns gender. In many societies, values nominally proclaimed as universal — honesty, courage, dignity, the right to participate fully in social and economic life — have historically been, and in many contexts continue to be, applied unevenly between men and women, with women frequently held to more restrictive standards of conduct while being afforded fewer of the corresponding social and economic protections. This unevenness is not merely a matter of individual prejudice; it is frequently embedded in law, in customary practice, and in the informal norms transmitted within families and communities, which means that addressing it requires attention to the same institutional mechanisms — family, school, law, media — discussed throughout this paper, rather than appeals to individual goodwill alone. A society genuinely committed to the impartial application of values discussed in Section 2 above cannot, on its own terms, exempt gender relations from that commitment; doing so

represents precisely the kind of selective value application that this paper has identified as corrosive to social cohesion more broadly.

6. Restoring Human Values: Practical Pathways

Having traced both the foundations and the erosion of shared human values, it is appropriate to conclude with concrete, actionable proposals rather than general observation alone.

Strengthening family-based moral education. Because the family remains the first and most durable site of value formation, initiatives that support parents — particularly mothers, given their disproportionate early role in most of the societies discussed here — in transmitting consistent ethical standards are likely to yield returns across an individual's entire life course.

Reforming curricula to include explicit ethical education. Schools should treat the cultivation of values as a deliberate, structured part of the curriculum rather than an incidental by-product of discipline, since students who receive explicit, sustained ethical instruction are better equipped to resist the pressures toward self-interest and isolation described above.

Encouraging regular, face-to-face community interaction. Deliberate efforts — community events, shared public spaces, structured intergenerational programmes — can counteract the isolating tendencies of excessive reliance on electronic communication, restoring the kind of direct moral encounter through which values have traditionally been reinforced.

Strengthening equal and predictable application of law. Because consistent legal enforcement is itself a powerful signal that a society's stated values are genuinely operative, institutional reforms aimed at reducing disparities in how law is applied to the wealthy and the poor are likely to have outsized effects on public moral confidence.

Reducing extreme economic disparity. Since concentrated wealth and entrenched deprivation were identified above as significant drivers of value erosion, policies that meaningfully narrow this gap — without requiring the abolition of legitimate private enterprise — are likely to reduce the resentment and mutual suspicion that corrode shared values over time.

Extending impartial application of values to gender relations. Because the selective application of otherwise universal values along gender lines was identified in Section 5.5 as a significant and persistent form of value erosion, deliberate reform of family, educational, legal, and media practice to extend equal standards of dignity, opportunity, and protection to women is a necessary, rather than optional, component of any credible programme for restoring shared human values.

Cultivating responsible media and public discourse. Given the role of algorithmically driven media in rewarding polarising and self-interested content over deliberative, value-consistent discourse, deliberate investment in media literacy education and in public-interest journalism can help restore the kind of shared, trustworthy public conversation through which values have traditionally been negotiated and reinforced.

None of these five proposals is sufficient on its own; the central argument of this paper is precisely that values are sustained through the interaction of multiple institutions — family, school, law, economy, and media — rather than through any single reform, however well designed. A society that strengthens family-based moral education while leaving its legal system arbitrary, or that narrows economic disparity while leaving its media environment structurally biased toward polarisation, is likely to see only partial and unstable improvement. Genuine, durable restoration of shared human values requires coordinated attention across all these domains simultaneously.

7. Conclusion

Human values remain, in the final analysis, among the principal sources of a society's collective beauty and coherence when upheld

with genuine and equal justice. Rapid advances in information technology over recent decades have coincided with a widely perceived erosion of moral seriousness among large sections of many societies, though technology itself should be understood as a neutral instrument whose social effects depend on how, and by whom, it is used; the inappropriate or excessive use of technology by individuals already experiencing emotional strain or social isolation appears to be a meaningful contributing factor to this broader moral decline, rather than a straightforward cause in itself. People increasingly substitute electronic devices for genuine companionship, and this substitution corrodes the kind of shared, direct interaction through which moral seriousness has traditionally been reinforced and transmitted across generations; restoring such interaction, alongside a more consistent and equal application of law, offers a realistic and evidence-consistent path toward a society whose members can once again trust that its shared values are genuinely, rather than only rhetorically, upheld.

References

Anand, R. (2017). *Human Values and Professional Ethics*. New Delhi: Satya Prakashan.

Becker, H. (1950). *Through Values to Social Interpretation: Essays on Social Contexts, Actions, Types, and Prospects*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Blackburn, S. (1996). *Oxford Dictionary of Philosophy*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Chakraborty, D. (2014). *Human Values and Ethics*. Mumbai: Himalaya Publishing House.

Chavan, R. L., Patankar, P. S., & Mali, N. S. (2016). Inculcation of human values through biology textbook among junior college students. *Online Submission*, 10(1), 108–110.

Giddens, A. (2011). *Introduction to Sociology*. London: Seagull Publications.

Gulati, S., & Pant, D. (2017). *Education for Values in Schools: A Framework*. New Delhi: National Council of Educational Research and Training.

Johnston, C. (1992). *What Is Social Value? A Discussion Paper*. Canberra: Australian Government Publishing Service.

Khedikar, S. S. (2013). Deterioration of human value. *International Journal of Application or Innovation in Engineering and Management*, 2(12), 42–43.

Kluckhohn, C. (1951). Values and value-orientations in the theory of action: An exploration in definition and classification. In T. Parsons & E. Shils (Eds.), *Toward a General Theory of Action* (pp. 388–433). Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Sinha, S. C. (1990). *Anmol's Dictionary of Philosophy*. New Delhi: Anmol Publications.

Social Values and Attitudes. (n.d.). Retrieved from http://eagri.org/eagri50/AEXT391/lec06.pdf

Teichmann, R. (2011). *Nature, Reason and the Good Life: Ethics for Human Beings*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

The Seven Principles of Social Value, and Why They Are Important for Accountability and Maximising Social Value. (n.d.). Retrieved from http://www.socialvalueuk.org/

Tripathy, P. (2011). *An Introduction to Moral Philosophy*. New Delhi: Axis Publications.

Türkkahraman, M. (2014). Social values and value education. *Procedia – Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 116, 633–638.

University of Tripura, Department of Philosophy. (n.d.). *Lecture notes on social values* (M. Debbarma, Comp.).