Policy Research Journal
ISSN (E): 3006-7030 ISSN (P) : 3006-7022 Volume 4, Issue 3, 2026

URBAN REGENERATION IN THEORY AND PRACTICE: GLOBAL APPROACHES, GIS INTEGRATION, AND LEGISLATIVE CONTEXTS IN PAKISTAN

Talha Sabir*[1], Kashf Javed Janjua[2], Ayesha Riaz[3], Iqra Ismail[4], Faryal Khalid[5]

*[*1]National University of Science and Technology*
[2,3]University of Engineering and Technology Lahore
[4,5]Lahore College for Women University

[*1]talhasabar489@gmail.com, [2]plnrkashf@gmail.com, [3]ayeshaa6888@gmail.com, [4]iqra.ismail94@yahoo.com
[5]fariyalkhalid@gmail.com

Keywords
Urban Regeneration, Geographic Information Systems (GIS), Spatial Planning, Urban Renewal, Comparative Policy Analysis, Planning Legislation, Pakistan Urban Governance.

Article History
Received: 29 January 2026
Accepted: 14 March 2026
Published: 28 March 2026

Copyright @Author
Corresponding Author: *
Talha Sabir

Abstract
Urban regeneration serves as a vital tool for dynamic urban planning, essential for counteracting physical decay, economic stagnation, and social fragmentation in growing cities. This research provides a comprehensive review of urban regeneration concepts and their vital role within contemporary urban planning. It explain strategic actions, approaches, and the core rationales driving urban renewal initiatives. To address spatial dynamics, the study explores the role of Geographic Information Systems (GIS) in monitoring physical, environmental, and economic parameters, highlighting specific GIS tools and techniques used during project implementation. The paper demonstrates how GIS tools, predictive modeling, and spatial data integration enable precise monitoring of physical infrastructure, environmental conditions, and socio-economic variables throughout the project lifecycle. Besides, the paper compares urban regeneration policies adopted across developed and developing nations. Finally, it examines current legislative provisions and policy guidelines governing urban area regeneration in Pakistan to identify existing frameworks and implementation opportunities.

1. Introduction and Theoretical Foundations of Urban Regeneration

1.1 Defining Urban Regeneration

Urban regeneration represents a comprehensive and integrated policy vision and operational mechanism aimed at addressing the complex, compounding problems of decaying urban environments. A foundational conceptualization formulated by Roberts (2000) defines urban regeneration as "a comprehensive and integrated vision and action which leads to the resolution of urban problems and which seeks to bring about a lasting improvement in the economic, physical, social and environmental conditions of an area that has been subject to change" (p. 17). Unlike isolated urban intervention strategies, regeneration operates as a holistic paradigm embracing the 'three Es' of sustainable development: economic competitiveness, social equity, and environmental protection (Gibson & Kocabas, 2001).

Historically, urban spatial management evolved from physical urban renewal and clearance programs in the mid-20th century to more

systemic regeneration frameworks. Rather than prioritizing suburban sprawl or Greenfield urbanization, urban regeneration focuses primarily on reorganizing, retrofitting, and upgrading established places within the existing urban fabric. It targets distressed city centers, obsolete industrial brownfields, and declining early/inner-ring suburban housing estates suffering from compounding economic and structural pressures (Puppim de Oliveira & Balaban, 2013). According to Roberts and Sykes (2000), effective urban regeneration is rooted in four essential operational principles:

- **Establishment of Clear, Measurable Objectives:** Formulating targeted operational goals aligned directly with broader sustainable development imperatives.
- **Rigorous Diagnosis of Local Conditions:** Conducting empirical and spatial analyses of baseline physical, socio-economic, and environmental realities.
- **Efficient Resource Optimization:** Maximizing the utility of natural, economic, infrastructural, and human assets while minimizing environmental footprints.
- **Stakeholder Collaboration and Co-Governance:** Engaging public, private, and community entities to foster social cohesion, preserve cultural heritage, and enhance economic resilience.

1.2 Significance of Urban Regeneration

The pursuit of urban renewal and spatial regeneration occupies a central role in the evolutionary trajectory of global cities. As urban centers expand, they encounter compounding challenges arising from deindustrialization, demographic shifts, chronic underinvestment, infrastructural obsolescence, cyclical unemployment, physical decay, and social disenfranchisement (Turok, 2004). Regeneration functions as the primary institutional tool through which municipalities rectify past planning missteps, adapt to structural economic realignments, and unlock latent spatial value.

1.3 Strategic Actions to Achieve Urban Regeneration

Revitalizing distressed urban districts requires a multi-scalar suite of physical, environmental, socio-economic, and administrative interventions. Core operational actions typically encompass:

- **Historic District Rehabilitation:** Preserving architectural heritage while retrofitting historic urban cores for modern adaptive reuse.
- **Residential District Upgrading:** Improving living conditions in substandard housing developments and informal settlements.
- **Public Realm Enhancements:** Redeveloping civic squares, urban parks, pedestrian networks, and public amenities to elevate neighborhood liveability.
- **Infrastructure Modernization:** Upgrading water distribution, gas, electrical grids, wastewater treatment, and multimodal transit infrastructure.

Modern sustainable urban regeneration integrates technical, spatial, and socio-economic solutions to mitigate environmental risks, reduce urban carbon footprints, and enhance overall quality of life (Keleş, 2003; Turok, 2004).

1.4 Need and Rationale for Urban Regeneration

Global urbanization trends demand that modern cities continuously adapt to attract human capital and creative economies. Regeneration strategies convert underutilized and derelict urban assets into vibrant, multifunctional spaces where citizens can live, work, and recreate. In developing nations like Pakistan, rapid demographic growth, informal settlement proliferation (katchi abadis), and heightened vulnerability to natural hazards highlight the urgent need for structured urban renewal. Beyond structural repairs, regeneration provides a framework to address identity loss, public space deficits, and extreme density by expanding urban green canopy, widening thoroughfares, and upgrading civic assets.

Crucially, urban regeneration must transcend simplistic property clearance or forced low-density gentrification. It should operate as a vehicle for community empowerment, ensuring

marginalized residents actively shape planning decisions, local knowledge is leveraged, and innovative decentralized financing models promote long-term socioeconomic self-sufficiency (Roberts, 2000; Turok, 2005).

1.5 Characteristics and Dimensions of Urban Regeneration

As established by Turok (2005) and Tallon (2010), contemporary urban regeneration is characterized by its cross-cutting nature, bridging town planning, land administration, housing policy, heritage conservation, and social welfare. Regeneration maintains an equilibrium across three interdependent pillars: 'People', 'Business', and 'Place'

- **People:** Enhancing human capital, capacity building, skill development, and social mobility.
- **Business:** Boosting regional competitiveness, fostering local entrepreneurship, and expanding employment opportunities.
- **Place:** Improving urban spatial quality, environmental resilience, accessibility, and functional spatial identity.

1.6 Typology of Regeneration Approaches

Urban planning literature identifies six major, non-mutually exclusive theoretical approaches to area-based renewal:

- **Property-Led Physical Approach:** Leveraging large-scale commercial or retail-anchored developments to generate economic multiplier effects (Dixon & Marston, 2003; DTZ, 2009).
- **Business-Driven Approach:** Targeting underserved inner-city markets through private enterprise investment and commercial incentives (Porter, 1995).
- **Urban Form and Design Perspective:** Optimizing spatial morphology, compact growth, and land-use efficiency to advance sustainability (Burton et al., 1996).
- **Cultural Industries Approach:** Capitalizing on creative economies, arts districts, and cultural heritage as primary drivers of renewal (Florida, 2002).
- **Health and Well-Being Perspective:** Designing active, accessible, and green spaces to improve public health outcomes and active living (Barton et al., 2003).
- **Community-Based Social Approach:** Prioritizing grassroots participation, social capital accumulation, and local empowerment in project governance (Thomas & Duncan, 2000).

2. Integration of Geographic Information Systems (GIS) in Urban Regeneration

2.1 Role of GIS in Spatial Planning and Analysis

Geographic Information Systems (GIS) provide essential analytical capabilities for overcoming historical shortcomings in urban regeneration. By integrating multi-layered spatial data (georeferenced boundaries, infrastructure layouts, land parcels) with multi-attribute socio-economic data (demographics, property values, building structural integrity), GIS enables robust spatial querying, predictive modeling, and empirical evaluation (ESRI, 2021; USGS, 2020). In decaying urban cores, GIS assists planners in detecting structures needing architectural conservation, delineating spatial blight hotspots, and evaluating intervention scenarios to maximize public benefit.

2.2 Core GIS Analytical Techniques

Advanced GIS techniques enhance the objectivity, precision, and efficiency of urban regeneration projects across four major spatial domains:

2.2.1 Thematic Mapping

Thematic mapping displays qualitative or quantitative attributes across specific geographical units. Planners utilize thematic maps to visualize spatial variations in population density, household income, building structural age, crime statistics, or environmental risk exposure. These maps serve three distinct functions: (1) highlighting specific location-based characteristics, (2) revealing underlying spatial structures and trends, and (3) enabling multi-temporal comparisons across baseline and post-intervention periods.

2.2.2 Suitability Analysis and Site Selection

Suitability analysis identifies optimal sites for urban renewal by evaluating overlapping spatial parameters against predefined decision criteria. In site selection workflows, raster-based weighted suitability modeling ranks candidate land parcels on standardized ordinal scales (e.g., 1 to 5, where 1 represents least suitable and 5 represents highly suitable). This method allows planning agencies to identify primary redevelopment zones as well as secondary contingency locations when prime sites are constrained by land tenure disputes or acquisition costs (ESRI, 2021).

2.2.3 Weighted Overlay Analysis

Weighted overlay analysis integrates diverse thematic layers such as land use diversity, proximity to mass transit, structural decay indices, and flood vulnerability into a unified decision matrix. The analytical workflow follows three standardized steps:

- **Reclassification:** Standardizing disparate input values into a common preference scale (e.g., 1–9 or 1–5).
- **Weight Assignment:** Multiplying reclassified cell values by designated percentage weights reflecting policy priorities.
- **Raster Summation:** Combining weighted layers to produce a comprehensive composite suitability or risk surface map.

2.2.4 Spatial Hotspot Analysis (Getis-Ord Gi)*

Spatial hotspot analysis evaluates spatial autocorrelation using vector feature geometries to detect statistically significant spatial clusters of high values (hotspots) or low values (coldspots). The Getis-Ord Gi* statistic calculates standardized Z-scores and corresponding P-values for each feature:

$$G_i^* = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n w_{i,j} x_j - \bar{X} \sum_{j=1}^n w_{i,j}}{S \sqrt{\frac{n \sum_{j=1}^n w_{i,j}^2 - (\sum_{j=1}^n w_{i,j})^2}{n - 1}}}$$

A high positive Z-score coupled with a statistically small P-value indicates an intense spatial concentration of high values (e.g., severe structural dilapidation or high crime density), whereas a negative Z-score indicates a coldspot. This enables planners to allocate limited municipal resources to empirically validated priority zones.

3. Global Comparative Approaches to Urban Regeneration

Urban decay and physical obsolescence affect cities globally, but strategies vary between developed and developing nations based on institutional capacity, funding mechanisms, and statutory frameworks. Developed countries often emphasize sustainability, socio-demographic retrofitting, and hazard mitigation, while developing nations frequently confront rapid informal urbanization, land tenure instability, and acute infrastructure deficits.

Table 1: Comparative Matrix of International Urban Regeneration Case Studies

Country / City	Context & Objective	GIS / Analytical Tools	Primary Policy & Spatial Outcome
Australia (Tuggeranong, ACT)	Suburban retrofitting & demographic revitalization in mature low-density district	SEIFA demographic mapping, transport node analysis & focus groups	Targeted neighborhood retrofitting (Kambah, Oxley, Banks) & sustainable urban density
Italy (Catania, Sicily)	Seismic risk reduction & urban green space expansion in dense historic core	GIS spatial indicators across multi-distance buffers (500m, 1000m, 2000m)	Building demolition/reconstruction, climate adaptation & expanded public amenities

Spain (Barcelona Eixample)	Interior block courtyard recovery & high-density urban fabric restoration	Cadastral data integration via GeoMedia GIS platform	Restored public interior courtyards (PROEIXAMPLE S.A.) & optimized land-use tracking
China (Wuhan, Hubei)	Old city center redevelopment & physical condition assessment in Huangjiadun	Multi-criteria spatial evaluation & neighborhood sub-area weighting	Objective physical degradation scoring & prioritized neighborhood renewal
Nigeria (Lagos Waterfront)	Mitigating forced evictions in informal floating settlements (Makoko-Iwaya)	Community-led spatial mapping & participatory master planning	Sustainable floating aquaculture, tourism, cultural preservation & tenure protection
Turkey (Istanbul, Gaziosmanpaşa)	Disaster risk management (earthquakes) & illegal housing restructuring	Large-scale spatial database management & GIS property valuation	Disaster-resilient housing reconstruction & speculation-free land valuation maps

3.1 Global Approaches in Developed Countries

3.1.1 Australia: GIS-Driven Community Profiling and Retrofitting in Tuggeranong

In the Australian Capital Territory (ACT), research on the Tuggeranong District demonstrates suburban renewal in low-density communities. Encompassing 19 residential suburbs and a population of approximately 400,000 in Canberra, Tuggeranong faced aging housing stock and shifting demographics. Researchers implemented a mixed-methods spatial framework integrating GIS demographic profiling with qualitative community engagement.

Using Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) Socio-Economic Indexes for Areas (SEIFA), GIS thematic mapping identified spatial variations in housing density, transit proximity, income distribution, and employment centers. Based on spatial data, three representative suburbs—Kambah, Oxley, and Banks—were selected for targeted focus groups. This hybrid quantitative-qualitative strategy allowed municipal authorities to align retrofitting priorities with community values, establishing a model for suburban sustainability.

3.1.2 Italy: Seismic and Climate Risk Mitigation in Catania

The municipality of Catania, Sicily, integrated risk reduction directly into its Local Spatial Plan. Characterized by high building density, severe traffic congestion, and a deficit of green infrastructure, Catania exhibits extreme vulnerability to seismic activity and climate change. The spatial strategy targets non-historical dilapidated structures for complete demolition and structural reconstruction, retaining surrounding unbuilt land for public green infrastructure.

To evaluate intervention impacts, GIS spatial indicators were computed across multi-distance concentric buffers (500m, 1,000m, and 2,000m) radiating from six designated Regeneration Areas (RAs). The wider 2,000m buffer covered 12 km^2 (one-sixth of the municipal area). The empirical indicator results confirmed that urban regeneration benefits—including reduced seismic exposure, improved transit accessibility, and enhanced land-use mix extend into adjacent neighborhoods without diminishing significantly over distance, confirming the systemic efficacy of targeted urban interventions.

3.1.3 Spain: Cadastral GIS and Courtyard Regeneration in Barcelona's Eixample

Barcelona's historic grid expansion, the Eixample—originally designed by Ildefonso Cerdá in 1860—experienced severe structural infill over the 20th century, resulting in excessive vertical density and the degradation of interior block courtyards. To restore these public spaces, the Barcelona Town Council established PROEIXAMPLE S.A., a specialized municipal delivery vehicle.

PROEIXAMPLE integrated cadastral databases, demographic censuses, and land-use activities into a GeoMedia GIS platform. The system established property-level descriptors to track land ownership, occupation rights, and building functions. This georeferenced database enabled municipal planners to evaluate acquisition opportunities, monitor transformation phases, and restore inner block courtyards into vibrant public green spaces.

3.2 Global Approaches in Developing Countries

3.2.1 China: Neighborhood-Scale Multi-Criteria Evaluation in Wuhan

Following economic reforms, urban China experienced unprecedented growth, with urban populations rising from 172 million in 1978 to 389 million by 1999 (Song, 2002). This rapid expansion caused inner-city overcrowding, infrastructure deficits, and physical deterioration. As Leaf (1995) noted, Chinese cities historically lacked the acute income-based spatial segregation common in other developing nations, but required specialized analytical frameworks for old city renewal.

In the Huangjiadun District of Wuhan, researchers established a multi-stage GIS methodology for evaluating physical degradation. The framework categorized physical criteria (land use, commercial services, housing quality, roads, utilities, environmental health) across sub-area units. Questionnaire-based weighting matrices were applied to GIS spatial data, allowing planners to rank structural decay objectively and target sub-areas requiring priority physical intervention (Wang, 1994).

3.2.2 Nigeria: Community-Led Floating Urbanism in Makoko-Iwaya, Lagos

Sub-Saharan African urban management has frequently relied on forced evictions and settlement demolitions, worsening displacement and social instability. In Lagos, Nigeria, the Makoko-Iwaya Waterfront—a lagoon-front informal settlement under constant eviction threat—offered an alternative model through the Makoko-Iwaya Waterfront Regeneration Plan.

Developed through alliances between local elders, women, youth, academic institutions, and NGOs, the plan rejected clearance in favor of preserving traditional lagoon-front livelihoods. Guided by five principles—community participation, historical preservation, economic development, tourism, and environmental resilience—the master plan proposed a floating economy centered on sustainable aquaculture, floating housing, and localized infrastructure, serving as an adaptive prototype for informal settlements globally.

3.2.3 Turkey: Urban Risk Reduction and Valuation in Gaziosmanpaşa, Istanbul

Rapid population growth and pervasive informal construction (gecekondu) in Turkey created urban areas highly vulnerable to earthquakes, floods, and landslides. To mitigate disaster risks, central and local governments enacted large-scale urban regeneration programs.

In Gaziosmanpaşa Municipality, Istanbul—where regeneration covered 37% of the district area—implementers managed complex spatial databases incorporating building age, geological hazard zones, and ownership records. GIS platforms were leveraged for objective real-property valuation, generating speculation-free land value maps that served as transparent baselines for property exchange and disaster-resilient housing reconstruction.

4. Urban Regeneration Context, Governance, and Legislative Frameworks in Pakistan

4.1 The Urban Landscape and Need for Intervention in Pakistan

Pakistani metropolises—including Karachi, Lahore, Rawalpindi, and Faisalabad—face severe

urban stress driven by rapid rural-to-urban migration, natural population growth, and uncoordinated spatial expansion. Major metropolitan areas suffer from proliferating informal settlements (katchi abadis), inadequate wastewater and solid waste management, severe air and noise pollution (smog), deteriorating historical infrastructure, and heightened vulnerability to extreme climate events (Government of Pakistan, 2011).

Following structural governance changes under administrative devolution policies, urban landscapes in Pakistan transformed rapidly. However, physical infrastructure investments have frequently proceeded without comprehensive interim impact assessments, leading to environmental degradation and displacement. Systemic urban regeneration is urgently required to retrofit inner-city areas, conserve cultural heritage, and improve living standards for low-income urban populations.

4.2 Institutional Roles and Governance Authorities in Lahore

In Lahore, the administrative architecture governing urban renewal and heritage management is divided across specialized statutory bodies:

4.2.1 Walled City of Lahore Authority (WCLA)

The Walled City of Lahore represents an irreplaceable urban artifact shaped by Mughal, Sikh, and British colonial influences. Established under the Walled City of Lahore Act 2012, WCLA functions as an autonomous authority dedicated to heritage conservation, building regulation, and socio-economic revitalization within the historic core. WCLA oversees physical restoration, infrastructure undergrounding, and tourism development while attempting to preserve the traditional residential fabric.

4.2.2 Lahore Development Authority (LDA)

LDA serves as the primary urban planning and development agency for the wider Lahore Metropolitan Area. LDA's historical involvement in conservation originated with the 1979 Lahore Urban Development and Traffic Study (LUDTS), funded by the World Bank. LUDTS established four core objectives: (1) structure plan preparation, (2) low-income neighborhood upgrading, (3) traffic bottleneck relief, and (4) environmental sanitation improvements within the Walled City. Subsequent conservation programs prepared by PEPAC expanded these objectives into broad metropolitan renewal strategies.

4.3 Statutory Frameworks and Policy Provisions

4.3.1 The Lahore Development Authority Act, 1975

The LDA Act of 1975 provides the principal statutory framework governing urban renewal, land acquisition, and development controls in Lahore. Key statutory provisions empowering LDA include:

- **Scheme Preparation and Enforcement:** Mandate to execute schemes for environmental improvement, urban renewal, slum upgrading, solid waste management, transit, and historic preservation.
- **Urban Beautification and Property Acquisition:** Authority to implement urban face-lifting schemes and acquire movable/immovable property for public purpose redevelopment.
- **Interim Development Controls:** Power to issue interim development orders restricting land-use changes or structural alterations during plan formulation.
- **Infrastructure Financing and Concessions:** Authority to construct, maintain, toll, or grant concessions for transit infrastructure, bridges, and flyovers.

4.3.2 Planning Commission Guidelines and Taskforce Recommendations

The federal Planning Commission of Pakistan and the Taskforce on Urban Development have articulated key national guidelines to reform urban growth patterns (Government of Pakistan, 2011):

- **Inner-City Infill and Renewal:** Expanding urban land supply primarily through inner-city renewal rather than unchecked agricultural land conversion.

- **Zoning and Building Code Modernization:** Amending restrictive land-use regulations to match market demand and promote compact, mixed-use developments.
- **Integrated Heritage Conservation:** Mainstreaming heritage conservation into standard master planning processes across provincial and municipal tiers.
- **Regional Transit and Rural-Urban Linkages:** Linking national spatial planning with provincial frameworks to connect urban centers with peri-urban hinterlands, reducing metropolitan congestion.

5. Discussion and Strategic Policy Recommendations

A comparative evaluation reveals a major policy gap in Pakistan's urban framework: while comprehensive statutory bodies like LDA and WCLA exist, their operations remain fragmented, property-led, and top-down. To achieve sustainable urban regeneration, Pakistan must transition from reactive urban clearance toward data-driven, community-centered regeneration strategies.

Strategic Recommendations for Pakistan's Urban Policy Reform:

- **Institutionalizing Municipal GIS Infrastructures:** Establish standardized spatial databases across provincial development authorities (LDA, KDA, CDA) to execute multi-criteria land-use suitability and disaster risk modeling.
- **Participatory Governance Models:** Adopt community planning alliances inspired by the Makoko-Iwaya model to safeguard informal settlement residents against arbitrary eviction and foster local socio-economic capital.
- **Speculation-Free Land Valuation:** Implement GIS-based property valuation mechanisms (similar to Turkey) to eliminate real estate speculation during urban renewal projects.

Integrated Climate and Seismic Retrofitting: Incorporate multi-buffer spatial indicator frameworks (as demonstrated in Catania) into master planning to mitigate seismic and urban climate hazards.

6. Conclusion

Urban regeneration represents a essential paradigm shift from ad-hoc urban expansion to the holistic, sustainable stewardship of existing city fabrics. By integrating economic competitiveness, environmental resilience, and social equity, regeneration offers a structural solution to urban obsolescence, informal growth, and climate vulnerability. International case studies demonstrate that combining spatial technologies—specifically Geographic Information Systems (GIS)—with community-centered governance yields measurable improvements in urban liveability, seismic safety, and spatial organization.

For Pakistan, bridging the gap between legislative frameworks (such as the LDA Act 1975 and Planning Commission guidelines) and ground-level execution requires mainstreaming spatial analytics, establishing transparent valuation models, and empowering local communities. Embracing these integrated approaches will allow Pakistani cities to transform structural urban challenges into opportunities for sustainable, inclusive growth.

7. References

Barton, H., Grant, M., & Guise, R. (2003). Shaping Neighbourhoods: For Local Health and Global Environment. London: Spon Press.

Burton, E., Williams, K., & Jenks, M. (1996). The Compact City: A Sustainable Urban Form? London: E & FN Spon.

Dixon, T., & Marston, A. (2003). UK retail real estate and the online challenge: The impact of e-commerce on commercial property. Journal of Property Investment & Finance, 21(3), 294-313.

DTZ. (2009). Retail-Led Regeneration: Economic Impact and Best Practice Guide. London: DTZ Research.

ESRI. (2021). ArcGIS Online & Spatial Analytics for Urban Management. Redlands, CA: Environmental Systems Research Institute.

Florida, R. (2002). The Rise of the Creative Class: And How It's Transforming Work, Leisure, Community and Everyday Life. New York: Basic Books.

Gibson, M., & Kocabas, A. (2001). Realising the capacity for sustainable urban regeneration: International experience and the role of GIS. Land Conditions & Reclamation, 1(4), 211-225.

Government of Pakistan, Planning Commission. (2011). Framework for Economic Growth 2011. Islamabad: Government of Pakistan.

Keleş, R. (2003). Kentleşme Politikası [Urbanization Policy] (8th ed.). Ankara: İmge Kitabevi.

Leaf, M. (1995). Inner city redevelopment in China: Implications for urban form and socio-spatial structure. Cities, 12(3), 149-162.

Lahore Development Authority. (1975). The Lahore Development Authority Act, 1975 (Act IX of 1975). Lahore: Government of the Punjab.

Porter, M. E. (1995). The competitive advantage of the inner city. Harvard Business Review, 73(3), 55-71.

Puppim de Oliveira, J. P., & Balaban, O. (2013). Changing priorities in urban environmental governance: The case of urban renewal in Japan. Land Use Policy, 30(1), 582-590.

Roberts, P. (2000). The evolution, definition and purpose of urban regeneration. In P. Roberts & H. Sykes (Eds.), Urban Regeneration: A Handbook (pp. 9-36). London: SAGE Publications.

Roberts, P., & Sykes, H. (Eds.). (2000). Urban Regeneration: A Handbook. London: SAGE Publications.

Song, Y. (2002). Assessment of physical conditions in old urban neighborhoods using GIS: A case study of Wuhan, China. Urban Studies, 39(12), 2317-2335.

Tallon, A. (2010). Urban Regeneration in the UK. London: Routledge.

Thomas, H., & Duncan, P. (2000). Community Involvement in Urban Regeneration: Context, Local Control and Research. Bristol: Policy Press.

Turok, I. (2004). Cities, regions and competitiveness. Regional Studies, 38(9), 1069-1083.

Turok, I. (2005). Urban regeneration: What can be done and what should be avoided? In Istanbul 2004: Urban Regeneration and Housing Policy (pp. 57-68). Istanbul: Metropolitan Municipality of Istanbul.

USGS. (2020). Geographic Information Systems Concepts and Spatial Analysis Standards. Reston, VA: United States Geological Survey.

Walled City of Lahore Authority. (2012). The Walled City of Lahore Act 2012 (Act XXXVI of 2012). Lahore: Provincial Assembly of the Punjab.

Wang, Y. P. (1994). Sectoral housing provision in urban China. Urban Studies, 31(2), 291-310.